Principles of Interferometry

Hans-Rainer Klöckner IMPRS Black Board Lectures 2014

acknowledgement

please have a look also on my "old" homepage

A small guide to AIPS [Klöckner 2010]

The Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) was developed by NRAO, originally to handle VLA data. It is now the most comprehensive radio image processing system available. You can not only use it for processing data optained by interferometers such as the VLA, WSRT, MERLIN, VLBA, EVN, VLBI you can also use it to work on single dish measurements and here the problem starts. Actually the variety of task (programs in AIPS) you can use to handle your radio data is sometimes confusing and on top of that you already need an idea what your radio measurements should look like.

This guide will help with your first steps in AIPS and hopefully will provide you with some confidence to calibrate your radio observations. More information and help can be found via the AIPS cookbook pages. However, once you have an idea of how AIPS works and what you should/can do with your radio data all other data processing packages use the same physical principles and are therefore easy to access even if the user interfaces appear different (e.g. Mirlad).

"The first steps" describes some basic features and useful tools in AIPS. "Get your hands dirty" will guide you through a complete calibration procedure. "AIPS advanced" will (still in development) provide some useful tips how to improve images, data massaging, combine UV-datasets, program run files.

	The first steps	Get your hands dirty	AIPS advanced
1.1	Start AIPS	2.1 Display data (UV-plane)	3.1 Self calibration
1.2	Get Data into AIPS	2.2 Error recognition	3.2 Data massaging [developing]
1.3	Get Information from Data	2.3 Calibrating Data	3.3 Scripts
1.4	Talking AIPS	2.4 Data handling	3.4 Source model subtraction

25.09.2014



http://www-astro.physics.ox.ac.uk/~hrk/AIPS_TUTORIAL/HRK_AIPS_1.html

Lecture 6

- observing with the GMRT
- investigating raw data
- evaluating calibration and self-calibration procedure
- data analysis

its all about dynamic range



radio interferometry and pipelining

8

... a painful journey



interferometry – short cuts and we will suffer





$$V_{\nu}(u,v,w) = \int \int \frac{A_{\nu}(l,m) \cdot I_{\nu}^{D}(l,m)}{\sqrt{1-l^{2}-m^{2}}} e^{-2\pi i [ul+vm+w\sqrt{1-l^{2}-m^{2}}]} dl dm$$
$$I_{\nu}^{D}(l,m) = FT(\Pi(u,v)) \bigotimes I_{\nu}(l,m)$$

$$\widetilde{V}_{ij}(t) = g_i(t)g_j^*(t)G_{ij}(t)V_{ij}(t) + \varepsilon_{ij}(t) + \epsilon_{ij}(t)$$

$$\widetilde{V}_{ij}(t) = g_i(t)g_j^*(t)V_{ij}(t) + \epsilon_{ij}(t)$$

GMRT

Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) Khodad located near (2-3 hrs by car) Pune in India

- Located 19°5'47.46"N 74°2'59.07"E
- 30 x 45 m antennas (alt-z) in a Y-shape = 4.7% SKA
- baselines ~25.5 km, 435 baselines (VLA 351)
- 100 MHz 1500 MHz (sweet spot ~ 600 MHz)







How to get GMRT data





... of course you could also take a taxi, which is less fun.

pipelining

modern datasets are to big to do this by hand

reproducible results

GMRT - pipeline

AIPS [Greisen]

ParselTongue [Kettenis] bootstrap AIPS limitations AIPSLite [Brouke]

enables AIPS to run on a cluster

hardware setup

Intel X5660 2.8 GHz CPUs 36 GB RAM

500GB locally scratch drive.

A typical run can use a maximum of about 1GB of RAM

scripts are based on individual tasks

subgmrt "5 min" \$PWD 00_GMRT_GETINF0_REPORT



Dante's steps of calibration



GET YOUR OBSERVATION RIGHT!

PCAL – Target – PCAL

... PCAL – Target – PCAL



PCAL needed to evaluate system performance for other facilities meta data is needed if available



BEFORE YOU START PIPELINING

this is really not what you want !

Evaluating – the classical way



へ

30 1/21 00

TIME (HOURS)

30 1/22 00

30

30 1/20 00

1/19 00





at 5 σ will fail

baseline based max, min, median, mean, std, nvis

20





spectrum flagging

spectral filter convolve image with various kernels (e.g. Gauss)





calibration [flux density / amplitude band pass]

Evaluating – the classical way









amp bandpass per bsl [stokes LL, file used X_CAL_BLBP_PL_0409-179]



flux density []v]

integrated spectra entire dataset

amplitude

phase

AMP BSL [0:0] mean 7.555 rms 0.127 max 8.621 Jy [Ŋ] 7.7 7.6 1.16.56.44 1, 16, 53, 56 [1, 16, 8, 59] ean 7.542 ms 0.078 [1, 16, 5, 55] 1, 15, 20, 161 1, 15, 17, 11] 1, 14, 31, 47 1, 14, 28, 42] 1, 13, 43, 56] 1, 13, 40, 51] 1, 12, 54, 47] 1, 12, 51, 43 [1, 12, 6, 27 [1, 12, 3, 22] [1, 11, 18, 0] 1, 11, 15, 13 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 1 7203656970

channel



number of vis



Dante's steps of calibration



dirty image no cleaning used

image large field of view

multi-facet

610 MHz 19 sub images 244 MHz ~200 sub-images

For each subimage, the entire dataset must be phase-shifted, and the (u,v,v/) recomputed for the new plane.





dirty image & cataloging & pseudo LSM



ASCII VO table

NVSS, FIRST, WENSS, SUMSS



RA Offset (NV55-GMRT) (arcsec















self-calibration [phase & amplitude]

building up the LSM / ... beating the chicken and the egg problem

multifacet self-calibration



SELFCAL STEP

- increase # of cc components (niter)

- decrease averaging time (solint)

each subimage, the entire dataset must be phase-shifted, and the (u,v,w) recomputed for the new plane.

some output

Selfcal performance

dynamic range	selfcal type	selfcal niter(#CC)	solint (min)
289	P	50	60
371	P	100	30
481	Ρ	500	15
713	Ρ	1000	7
942	Р	2000	3
1702	P	14274	1
1739	A&P	15861	10
1237	A&P	20392	3
1913	A&P	28549	1

CALIB1: FACSET: 1.004184 Jy found from 5 components CALIB1: FACSET: 1.255884 Jy found from 5 components CALIB1: FACSET: 1.573940 Jy found from 8 components CALIB1: FACSET: 2.160819 Jy found from 34 components CALIB1: FACSET: 2.389948 Jy found from 40 components CALIB1: FACSET: 2.869350 Jy found from 114 components CALIB1: FACSET: 2.946990 Jy found from 129 components CALIB1: FACSET: 2.803550 Jy found from 104 components CALIB1: FACSET: 3.032050 Jy found from 147 components

LSM performance

How good is the sky model

Image - select good cc components - phase calibration

1st scal step

final scal step



LSM performance

How good is the sky model

Image - select good cc components - amplitude calibration

1st scal step

final scal step



A 10° phase error is as bad as a 20% amplitude error.

LSM performance



22 30

23 00

30

1/00 00

TIME (HOURS)

30

1/01 00

shift the phase centre

LSM performance – visibilities div / sub model



Dante's steps of calibration



further steps into Dante's hell

or we are lucky and end up in heaven

calibration up to now based on antenna solutions

LSM based calibration

- closure relation
- baseline based fringe fit

NO physical model needed

LSM based calibration

- TEC measurements,
- primary beam models
- directional dependent calibration Meq

physical [either sky or array] model needed !!!





GMRT - issues







GMRT - issues



GMRT – primary beam



NVSS, FIRST, WENSS, SUMSS



New Primary Beam Correction





-01

-02

14⁶53^m

 40^{m}

 30^{11}

Right Ascension (J2000)

 $2D^{T}$

10⁻¹

14^b00



thank you for listening and enjoy synthesis imaging after all its fun to get an image out of the data and do science with it