

Probability Rules

- Seen how to work with samples from prob functions
- Seen how to summarize them.
- Need to formally manipulate probability distros

When we discuss a generic probability distro,
write

samples $x_i \sim P(X)$
Sometimes $\text{prob}(X)$
~~Some distros~~

If ~~Some~~ X is discrete, for example True/False; Integers,
 $P(X)$ has no units, $\# 0 \leq P(X) \leq 1$.

~~If continuous $[P(X)] = \frac{1}{[X]}$~~

"Or" $P(X) + P(\neg X) = 1$

normalized over
all possibilities.

Continuous:

$$[P(X)] = \frac{1}{[X]}$$

Normalization: $\int_{\forall X} P(X) dX = 1$

Note: $P(X)$ can be > 1 in narrow regions

- $P(X)$ is a probability density or pdf

High prob density

\nRightarrow not High probability

Joint Probability

Can talk about more than 1 var:

$$P(X, Y) \quad \text{or} \quad P(\vec{X}) = P(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

discrete: X "AND" Y at same time

continuous: multi-dimensional PDF

Conditional Probability

$$P(X|Y)$$

^
given

probability X is true given Y is true

or
probability X value given Y is fixed to some

Product Rule

$$P(X, Y) = P(X|Y)P(Y)$$

works the other way too

$$P(X, Y) = P(Y|X)P(X)$$

← probability of X regardless of Y

Also works ~~if~~ for Conditional Probs:

$$P(X, Y|Z) = P(X|Y, Z)P(Y|Z)$$

Bayes Rule

- Put product rule together

$$P(X|Y) = \frac{P(Y|X)P(X)}{P(Y)}$$

Independence

$$P(X, Y) = P(X|Y)P(Y) = P(X)P(Y)$$

↘
 $P(X)$

Marginalization - When we don't know a variable's value, or don't care

$$P(X) = \int_{\forall Y} P(X, Y) dY = \int_{\forall Y} P(X|Y) P(Y) dY$$

discrete:

$$P(X) = \sum_Y P(X|Y) P(Y)$$

[Supernovae Example] Tail: $P(>X) = \int_X^{\infty} P(X) dx$

[Prisoner Example] Changing Variables

$$P(X) dx = P(Y) dy$$
$$P(X) = P(Y) \left| \frac{dy}{dx} \right|$$

Supernova

$$P(I_a) = 0.3 \quad \text{probability of type Ia}$$

$$P(I_b) = 0.2 \quad \text{probability of type Ib}$$

$$P(II) = 0.5$$

Note: - Discrete variable w/ 3 options
- Normalization satisfied

$$P(R) = 0.3 \quad \text{Probability of radio emission detected from SN, regardless of type}$$

- 2 option variable

$$P(II|R) = 0.75 \quad \text{- Probability that SN is type II}$$

GIVEN Radio detected

$$P(I_b|R) = 0.25 \quad \text{- Probability that SN is type Ib}$$

What is $P(I_a|R) = ?$ 0. \rightarrow Normalization rule!

3 Questions, with neighbors

1.) $P(R|I_b)$

probability that radio is detected
GIVEN that it is I_b

2.) $P(R|I_a \text{ or } I_b)$

probability of Radio Given type I

3.) $P(I_a|\neg R)$

probability of I_a given no radio

Answers:

1.)
$$P(R|I_b) = \frac{P(I_b|R)P(R)}{P(I_b)} = \frac{(0.25)(0.3)}{0.2} = 0.375$$

2.)
$$\begin{aligned} P(R|I_a \text{ or } I_b) &= \frac{P(I_a \text{ or } I_b|R)P(R)}{P(I_a \text{ or } I_b)} \\ &= \frac{\overset{0}{P(I_a|R)P(R)}}{P(I_a \text{ or } I_b)} + \frac{P(I_b|R)P(R)}{P(I_a \text{ or } I_b)} = \frac{(0.25)(0.3)}{0.5} \\ &= 0.15 \end{aligned}$$

3.) ~~P~~ Note: $P(R|I_a \text{ or } I_b) \neq P(R|I_a) + P(R|I_b)$

3.)
$$\begin{aligned} P(I_a|\neg R) &= \frac{P(\neg R|I_a)P(I_a)}{1-P(R)} \quad , P(\neg R|I_a) = 1 - P(R|I_a) \quad \uparrow 0 \\ &= \frac{1(0.3)}{0.7} = 0.43 \end{aligned}$$

[Notebook Demo of Answers]

3 Prisoners Dilemma Problem [For Piazza]

3 prisoners in jail: A, B, C

One has randomly been selected for release.

The warden knows, but cannot say.

A asks the warden, "Tell me if B or C will be released"

"If B is to be released, tell me not C;

If C, then not B;

if me, then flip a coin and tell me which one is not released."

Warden says "Not B," ~~after some thought a coin flip~~

A thinks his chances are now 50/50 for release, between A, C.

B A tells C, C smirks thinks he now has $2/3$ chance. Who is right?

A, B, C \equiv released $P(A) = P(B) = P(C) = 1/3$

b \equiv told not B, c \equiv told not C

$$P(A|b) = \frac{P(b|A)P(A)}{P(b)} =$$

$$P(b|A) = 1/2, \quad P(b|B) = 0, \quad P(b|C) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A|b) = P(b|A)$$

$$P(b) = P(b|A)P(A) + P(b|B)P(B) + P(b|C)P(C)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A|b) = \frac{1/2 \cdot 1/3}{1/2 \cdot 1/3 + 0 + 1/3} = \frac{1/6}{3/6} = 1/3 !$$

A gets no information about his fate, but C does!

Maximum Likelihood

or "Maximum a Posteriori Solution"

~~$P(X) \rightarrow \frac{dP}{dX} = 0$ is a local max or min.~~

Imagine we have a model $f(\vec{x}; \vec{\alpha})$
 \vec{x} independent variable $\vec{\alpha}$ parameters.

We have measured data \vec{y} at \vec{x} .

We want to know

$$P(\vec{\alpha} | \vec{y}) = \frac{P(\vec{y} | \vec{\alpha}) P(\vec{\alpha})}{P(\vec{y})}$$

probability of parameters after seeing data

Bayes Rule

$P(\vec{y} | \vec{\alpha})$ usually far easier to write down "Likelihood"

$P(\vec{\alpha})$ prior - what we think params are w/o seeing any data or \rightarrow seeing all possible datasets.

$P(\vec{y})$ evidence - normalization factor
 \rightarrow important, hard to calculate.

\rightarrow come back to this.

Let's say all the data is independent.

$$P(\vec{\alpha} | \vec{y}) = \frac{P(\vec{\alpha})}{P(\vec{y})} \prod_i P(y_i | \vec{\alpha})$$

Let's also say $P(y_i | \vec{\alpha})$ is Gaussian, and we know the noise $\vec{\sigma}$

$$P(y_i | \vec{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_i} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_i - f(x_i; \vec{\alpha})}{\sigma_i} \right)^2}$$

What is $P(\vec{z})$? Might be bad, but $P(\vec{z}) = U(\vec{z}) = \frac{1}{N}$
 constant

$P(\vec{z}|\vec{y})$ getting messy, hard to calc. We can always take its log:

$$\begin{aligned}\ln P(\vec{z}|\vec{y}) &= \sum_i \ln(P(y_i|\vec{z})) + K \\ &\approx \sum_i \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_i - f(x_i; \vec{z})}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 - \ln(\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_i) \right] + K\end{aligned}$$

That looks familiar!

$$\chi^2 \equiv \sum_i \left(\frac{y_i - f(x_i; \vec{z})}{\sigma_i} \right)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln P(\vec{z}|\vec{y}) \propto -\frac{1}{2} \chi^2 + K$$

↖ wrt \vec{z} .

So maximizing $\ln P$
 ↳ what we do to find the mode of the distro
 is same as minimizing χ^2 .

But what assumptions?

- { i.i.d. data
- { Gaussian Noise
- { $P(\vec{z}) = \text{Uniform}$.

Often not the case!

What if we had 1D Gaussian data? Want μ ?

$$\Rightarrow \ln P(\mu|\vec{x}) \propto -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left(\frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2$$

↙ num datapoints

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d \ln P}{d \mu} &= + \sum_i x_i - \mu = \sum_i x_i - N \mu = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \mu &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_i x_i\end{aligned}$$

Max like estimate of μ
 is sample mean!