Mass ratios and the final spin in supermassive black hole mergers

László Árpád GERGELY

University of Szeged, Hungary

with *Peter L Biermann* (MPI for Radioastronomy Bonn)

with the support of a "Black Holes in a Violent Universe" STSM

2011

#### Accretion vs. mergers

- Both increase the mass
- Accretion increases the spin too
- Mergers may easily reduce the spin

Various scenarios leading to the same SMBH mass distribution lead to different prediction of the spin distribution

### BHs rotate fast due to accretion

Bardeen accretion spins up BHs.

Mass increase by a factor of 3, when changing BHs spin from maximal counter-rotation to maximal rotation.



## Accretion and efficiency refined



Efficiency reduced to 25% - 35%

Kovács, LÁG, Biermann: MNRAS (2011), arXiv: 1007.4279 [astro-ph.CO]





In dry mergers the spin configuration is random  $\rightarrow$  spin reduced

In wet mergers the spins are aligned with the orbital angular momentum (Bardeen-Petterson effect)  $\rightarrow$  no drastic reduction of the spin

### A simple model

- Assume dry mergers (random spín orientations)
- Assume a símple final spín magnítude formula
- Fold with the mass ratio probability, derived from the SMBH mass function
- Integrate above mass ratios and spin configurations

→ typical final spin (function of initial spins only)

### **Typical mass ratios**

- Mass distribution of central galactic BHs (Lauer et al 2006, Wilson & Colbert 1995)
  → broken power law from about m<sub>a</sub> ≈ 3 × 10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> to about m<sub>b</sub> ≈ 3 × 10<sup>9</sup> M<sub>☉</sub>, with a break near m<sub>\*</sub> ≈ 10<sup>8</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> (power -1 below, -3 above)
- Mass of the central massive BH scales with the (Benson et al. 2007)
  mass of the spheroidal component,
  → merger rate of galaxies ≈ merger rate of the central BHs.
- The probability for a specific mass ratio is an integral over the BH mass distribution, folded with the rate to merge (depending on cross section and relative velocity of the two galaxies, the latter negligible, as the universe is not old enough for mass segregation)
- Factor of 10 in radius (10<sup>2</sup> in cross-section) for a factor of about 10<sup>4</sup> in mass
   → Cross-section F ~ η<sup>ξ</sup> with ξ = 1/2 as first approximation

# Mass ratio dependent merger probabilities

### A simple final spin formula

From símple arguments (angular momentum conservation; cosmic censorship observed; zero efficiency of mass conversion into gravitational radiation) we (under)estimate as:

$$\chi_f = \frac{\nu}{(1+\nu)^2} \Big[ 4 + 4 \sum_{i=1,2} \nu^{2i-3} \chi_i \cos \kappa_i + \sum_{i=1,2} \left( \nu^{2i-3} \chi_i \right)^2 + 2\chi_1 \chi_2 \cos \gamma \Big]^{1/2}$$

(green surfaces) and compare with the much cumbersome formula (magenta), derived from fitting with elaborate numerical runs (Barrausse, Rezzolla APJL 2009)



FIG. 2: The final spin estimates  $\chi_f$  (green surfaces) and  $\chi_f^{BR}$  (magenta) as function of  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  and  $\kappa_1$  for mass ratios  $\nu = 1$  (upper row),  $\nu = 0.1$  (middle row) and  $\nu = 0.01$  (lower row). The represented configuration has the (smaller) spin confined to the plane of motion and the (larger) spin lying in the plane span by the smaller spin and orbital angular momentum. The agreement increases with decreasing  $\nu$  (with  $\chi < \chi^{BR}$  at large  $\nu$ ); is better in the high spin regime (visible on the right panel), then for low spin (left); is also better for configurations with  $\kappa_1 \in [0, \pi/2]$  than for the severely misaligned configurations.

### **Typical final spin for mass ratio** $q = v^{-1}$



## Typical spin evolution by mergers



For  $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = 0.998$ (canonical spin = spin limit by accretion + e.m. radiating disk) the final spin is:

 $\chi_{\text{final}}=0.666$ 



accreted in order to increase this spin to the canonical limit is:



70% of the BH mass

# What do we plan **NEXE**?

(collaboration with L Caramete, PL Biermann)

- · Allow for partially wet mergers
- · Employ more accurate mass function
- Employ the (more complicated) final spin formula (Barrausse, Rezzolla APJL 2009) determined from the fit with a number of numerical simulations of BH mergers

Task: Correlate the typical degree of wetness with

- · Observations of the SMBH spin magnitudes
- Amount of electromagnetic radiation available in the Universe