ASTROPHYSICAL AND COSMOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF PRIMORDIAL BLACK HOLES

Bernard Carr Queen Mary University London

PBHs as probe of early Universe inhomogeneities, phase transitions, inflation

PBHs as probe of high energy physics PBH explosions, cosmic rays, TeV quantum gravity

PBHs as probe of dark side dark matter, dark energy, dark dimensions



LARGE VERSUS SMALL BLACK HOLES



- Huge potential mass range of PBHs makes them a powerful probe of both macrophysics and microphysics.
- PBHs could provide unique information about higher dimensions, relevant to accelerator experiments and creation of Universe.

WHEN BLACK HOLES FORM



MODES OF BLACK HOLE DETECTION



BLACK HOLE FORMATION

 $R_s = 2GM/c^2 = 3(M/M_0) \text{ km} \implies \rho_s = 10^{18}(M/M_0)^{-2} \text{ g/cm}^3$

Stellar BHs (M~10M₀) and SMBHs (M~10⁸M₀) form now



$$\begin{split} M_{PBH} \sim c^{3}t/G = \begin{array}{ccc} 10^{-5}g \ at \ 10^{-43}s & (minimum) \\ 10^{15}g \ at \ 10^{-23}s & (evaporating \ now) \\ 1M_{O} \ at \ 10^{-5}s & (maximum) \\ \end{split}$$

Higher dimensions => TeV quantum gravity => larger minimum?

... AND EVAPORATION

Black holes radiate thermally with temperature

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{hc^3}{8\pi GkM} \sim \mathbf{10^{-7}} \left[\frac{M}{M_0}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{K}$$
 (Hawking 1974)

=> evaporate completely in time $t_{evap} \sim 10^{64} \left[\frac{M}{M_0}\right]^3 y$

 $M \sim 10^{15}$ g => final explosion phase today (10³⁰ ergs)

γ-ray bgd at 100 MeV => $\Omega_{PBH}(10^{15}g) < 10^{-8}$ (Page & Hawking 1976)

=> explosions undetectable in standard particle physics model



PBHs important even if never formed!

WHAT PRIMORDIAL BLACK HOLES DO

Probe fundamental physics (M~10⁻⁵g)

Planck-mass relics Extra dimensions and higher dimensional BHs TeV quantum gravity

Probe early universe (M<10¹⁵g)

Baryosynthesis/nucleosynthesis Gravitino/neutrino/entropy production Removing monopoles/domain walls

Probe high energy physics (M~10¹⁵g)

Cosmological and Galactic γ-rays Cosmic ray antiprotons and positrons PBH explosions and gamma-ray bursts **Probe gravity** (M>10¹⁵g)

Non-baryonic cold dark matter candidate Dynamical/lensing/gravitational-wave effects Seed large-scale structure and SMBHs in galactic nuclei

Limit on fraction of Universe collapsing

 $\beta(M)$ fraction of density in PBHs of mass M at formation

General limit

$$\frac{\rho_{PBH}}{\rho_{CBR}} \approx \frac{\Omega_{PBH}}{10^{-4}} \left[\frac{R}{R_0} \right] \Longrightarrow \beta < 10^{-6} \,\Omega_{PBH} \left[\frac{t}{\text{sec}} \right]^{1/2} (10^{-18} \,\Omega_{PBH} \left[\frac{M}{10^{15} \,g} \right]^{1/2}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Unevaporated} & M {>} 10^{15} \mbox{g} \Longrightarrow \Omega_{PBH} {<} 0.25 & (CDM) \\ \mbox{Evaporating now} & M {\sim} 10^{15} \mbox{g} \Longrightarrow \Omega_{PBH} {<} 10^{-8} & (GRB) \\ \mbox{Evaporated in past} & M {<} 10^{15} \mbox{g} \end{array}$

=> constraints from entropy, γ-background, BBNS

Carr, Gilbert & Lidsey (1994)



Novikov et al. (1979)



Josan, Green & Malik (2009)

TABLE I. Summary of constraints on the initial PBH abundance, $\beta(M_{PBH})$.		ce, β(M _{PBH}).	
Description	Mass range	Constraint on $\beta(M_{PBH})$	0
	Gravitational constraints		
Present-day PBH density	$M_{PBH} > 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ g}$	$<2 \times 10^{-19} \left(\frac{M_{PBH}}{f_{\mu}S \times 10^{10}} \right)^{1/2}$	
GRB femtolensing	$10^{-16}M_0 \le M_{PBH} \le 10^{-13}M_0$	$<1 \times 10^{-19} \left(\frac{M_{BBH}}{f_{y} S \times 10^{12} g} \right)^{1/2}$	
Quasar microlensing	$0.001 M_{\odot} \le M_{\rm PBH} \le 60 M_{\odot}$	$<1 \times 10^{-19} \left(\frac{M_{PRH}}{f_{sc} S \times 10^{16} \text{ g}} \right)^{1/2}$	
Radio source microlensing	$10^6 M_{\odot} \le M_{\rm PBH} \le 10^8 M_{\odot}$	$<6 \times 10^{-20} \left(\frac{M_{BBH}}{f_{cd} S \times 10^{12} \text{ g}} \right)^{1/2}$	
LMC Microlensing	Halo density ⁸		-10
	$10^{-7}M_{\odot} < M_{\rm PBH} < 10^{-6}M_{\odot}$	$<3 \times 10^{-20} (\frac{M_{PBH}}{f_M S \times 10^{10} g})^{1/2}$	
	$10^{-6}M_0 \le M_{PBH} \le M_{\odot}$	$<1 \times 10^{-20} (\frac{M_{PBH}}{(\mu 5 \times 10^{10} \text{ s})})^{1/2}$	-
	$M_{\odot} < M_{PBH} < 10M_{\odot}$	$<5 \times 10^{-20} \left(\frac{M_{PRH}}{f_{\pi} S \times 10^{10}} \right)^{1/2}$	3
Wide binary disruption	$10^3 M_{\odot} \le M_{\rm PBH} \le 10^8 M_{\odot}$	$<3 \times 10^{-20} \left(\frac{M_{PRH}}{f_{10}5 \times 10^{10}} \right)^{1/2}$	3
Disk heating	$M_{\rm PBH} > 3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$	$<2 \times 10^{6} \frac{1}{c^{1/2}} \left(\frac{M_{PBH}}{5 \times 10^{12} \text{ s}}\right)^{-1/2}$	ň
	Evaporation		- 00
Diffuse gamma-ray background	$2 \times 10^{13} \text{ g} < M_{PBH} < 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ g}$	depends on PBH mass function	69
Cosmic-rays	similar to DGRB	depends on PBH mass function	
Neutrinos	similar to DGRB	depends on PBH mass function	
Hadron injection	$10^{6} \text{ g} < M_{PBH} < 10^{10} \text{ g}$	<10-20	
Photodissociation of deuterium	$10^{10} \text{ g} \le M_{PBH} \le 5 \times 10^{10} \text{ g}$ $10^{10} \text{ g} \le M_{PBH} \le 10^{13} \text{ g}$	$<3 \times 10^{-22} (-\frac{M_{PRH}}{-2})^{1/2}$	
CMB distortion	10^{11} g $\leq M_{0010} \leq 10^{13}$ g	<10 ⁻²¹	
(Quasi-)stable massive particles ^b	$M_{\rm FBH} < 10^{11} { m g}$	$< \sim 10^{-18} (\frac{f_M M_{BHH}}{10^{11} r})^{-1/2}$	-30
Present-day relic density ⁶	$M_{\rm PBH} < 5 \times 10^{14} {\rm g}$	$< 4 \frac{1}{f_{M}^{1/2} f_{sl}} \left(\frac{M_{max}}{5 \times 10^{14} \text{ g}} \right)^{3/2}$	



B. Carr, K. Kohri, Y. Sendouda & J. Yokoyama (2010)





Microlensing searches \Rightarrow MACHOs with 0.5 M_o

 \Rightarrow PBH formation at QCD transition?

Pressure reduction => PBH mass function peak at $0.5 M_{O}$

(Jedamzik 1997, Yokoyama 1997, Widerin & Schmid 1998, Kawasaki 1998, Jedamzik & Niemeyer 1999, Fuller et al 2000)

However, it now seems that at most 20% of DM can be in these objects







Fig. 3. Light curves for two quasars showing all the characteristic: expected of caustic crossing events. Symbols as for Fig. 1.

Evidence for dark matter in the form of compact bodies

Michael Hawkins University of Edinburgh

Evidence for Microlensing

- Lack of time dilation.
- Symmetry of variation.
- Achromatic variation.
- Microlensing in multiply lensed quasars
- Caustic features in light curves
- Slope of structure function

The timescale of variation implies that the mass of the microlensing bodies is around 0.1 M_{\odot}



Will measurements of gamma-ray bursts,

like the one shown sterilizing a planet in

this artist's rendering, reveal the existence of tiny black holes? We may know soon.

DETECTION OF 10¹⁷G PBHS BY FEMTOLENSING?



Marani et al. (1999)

1993



f < 0.06 for $10^6 M_{\odot} < M < 10^8 M_{\odot}$



Binary disruption

£ . fraction

ă 10→

10-4

10.4



Some of these effects have been claimed as evidence for PBHs

CAN PBHS GENERATE LARGE-SCALE STRUCTURE?

PBH formation => Poisson fluctuations which can grow large Meszaros 1975, Carr 1977, Frees et al 1983, Carr & Silk 1983

Ly- α clouds => upper limit of 10⁴M_o Afshordi et al. 2003 $\delta(M) \sim f(M) \left(\frac{f(M)M_{Ly\alpha}}{M} \right)^{-1/2} \sim 10^{-5} f(M)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_{Ly\alpha}}{10^{10} M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1/2} = > f(M) < (M/10^4 M_{\odot})^{-1}$

Similar effect can lead to SMBHs in galactic nuclei Duchting 2004, Khlopov et al. 2005, Chisholm 2006

Accretion of quintessence by $10^{2}M_{O}$ PBHs might also generate SMBHs but simple accretion analysis is wrong Bean & Magueijo 2002, Carr, Harada & Meada 2010

ASTROPHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS ON LARGE PBHS



0.1 10⁻³ 10⁻² 10⁻¹ 10⁶ 10¹ 10² 10³ 10⁴ 10⁶ 10⁶ 10⁷ 10⁶ black hole mass (M_a)

019

COULD COLD DARK MATTER BE PBHS?

Blais, Kiefer & Polarski (2002) $10^{17}-10^{20}$ g PBHs excluded by femtolensing of GRBs $10^{26}-10^{30}$ g PBHs excluded by microlensing of LMC Above $10^{5}M_{0}$ excluded by dynamical effects But no constraints for $10^{16}-10^{17}$ g or $10^{20}-10^{26}$ g or above 10^{30} g Micro Frampton et al. (2010) Double inflation model => peak in spectrum => PBHs with $10^{-8}-10^{5}M_{0}$

k [1/Mpc]

What Would Happen if a Small Black Hole Hit the Earth? by IAN ONEILL on FEBRUARY 17, 2008



Khriplovich et al. (2008) Long tube of radiatively damaged material recognisable for geological time

Could Primordial Black Holes Deflect Asteriods on a Collision Course with Earth?

Shatskiy (2008) Earth-mass PBHs could deflect asteroids onto Earth every 190M years

CAN PLANCK MASS RELICS PROVIDE DARK MATTER?



Above upper limit baryon asymmetry from evaporations determines final photon-to-baryon ratio => $M \sim 10^6$ g, t ~ 10^{-23} s (Alexeyev et al 2002, Chen & Adler 2003, Barrau et al 2004, Alexander & Meszaros 2007)

GRAVITY WAVES FROM PBHS



HAWKING RADIATION IN MORE DETAIL



MacGibbon and Webber (1990)

 $T > \Lambda_{QCD} = 250-300 \text{ MeV} => \text{ secondary emission from jet decays}$ with only pions emitted below Λ_{QCD}



Time integrated emission from all PBHs



CSKY

PBH CONSTRAINTS FROM BIG BANG NUCLEOSYNTHESIS













CONSTRAINTS ON PBHS FROM γ -RAY BACKGROUND

Page & Hawking (1976) => $\Omega_{PBH}(M_{\star}) < 10^{-8}$ (Fichtel et al)

Carr & MacGibbon (1998) => $\Omega_{PBH}(M_*) < 5.1 + 2.6 \times 10^{-9}$ (EGRET, jets)



Barrau et al. (2003) => $\Omega_{PBH}(M_{\star})$ < 3.3 x 10⁻⁹ (subtracting blazars)

Cannot explain the γ -ray background but can place limits on $\beta(M_*)$

For monochromatic mass function, limits are strongest at M_{*} CKSY (2010) => $\Omega_{PBH}(M_{\star}) < 5 \times 10^{-10}$ (FermiLAT)



$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}t}(E_{\gamma}, t) \simeq n_{\mathrm{PBH}}(t) E_{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{N}_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\tau}}(M(t), E_{\gamma})$ $n_{\gamma 0}(E_{\gamma 0}) = \int_{t_{1,1}}^{\min(t_{0},\tau)} \mathrm{d}t \, (1+z)^{-3} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}t} ((1+z) \, E_{\gamma 0}, t)$ $= n_{\text{PBH0}} E_{\gamma 0} \int_{t_{\text{Loc}}}^{\min(t_0,\tau)} \mathrm{d}t \left(1+z\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{N_{\gamma}}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\gamma}}(M(t), (1+z) E_{\gamma 0})$ $I \equiv rac{c}{4\pi} n_{\gamma 0}$ $I^{ m obs} \propto E_{\gamma 0}^{-(1+\epsilon)}$ $\epsilon \approx 0.2\text{--}0.3$ Constraints on $\beta(M)$ $\beta'(M) \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-27} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\star}}\right)^{-5/2-2\epsilon} (M < M_{\star})$

$\beta'(M) \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-26} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\star}}\right)^{7/2+\epsilon} \quad (M > M_{\star})$

GALACTIC γ-BACKGROUND

Extragalactic γ -background => $\Omega_{PBH}(M_*) < 5 \times 10^{-10}$

Galactic y-background (Wright 1996) = explosion rate $dn/dt < 0.07 - 0.42 \text{ pc}^{-3}\text{y}^{-1}$ => Galactic halo concentration $\xi = (2-12) \times 10^{5} h^{-1}$

More recent analysis (Lehoucq et al. 2009) \Rightarrow explosion rate $dn/dt < 0.06 \text{ pc}^{-3}\text{y}^{-1}$ \Rightarrow limit $\Omega_{\text{PBH}}(M_*) < 2.6 \text{ x}10^{-9}$ and $\beta(M_*) < 2 \text{ x}10^{-26}$ 4 x weaker than EG limit



Lehoucq et al. (2009)



energy band originating from π^0 -decay and bremsstrahlung radiation in the HI and H₂ gas (top), inverse Compton scattering of

the interstellar radiation field (middle), and PBH emission from the Moore dark matter distribution (bottom). All maps are dis-

played in Galactic coordinates with different logarithmic scales to easily compare the radial profiles.





CKSY analysis of Galactic γ-background

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{M}_{i} = \mathsf{M}_{\star}(1+\mu) => \mathsf{M}(\mathsf{t}_{o}) = (30\mu)^{1/3} \; \mathsf{M}_{\star} \\ \Rightarrow \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{peak}} = 100 \; (30\mu)^{-1/3} \; \mathrm{in} \; 80\text{-}160 \; \text{MeV} \; \mathrm{for} \; 0.7 > \mu > 0.08 \\ \Rightarrow \; \mathrm{limit} \; \mathrm{on} \; \beta(\mathsf{M}) \; \mathrm{strongest} \; \mathrm{at} \; 1.08 \mathsf{M}_{\star} \; \mathrm{and} \; \mathrm{scales} \; \mathrm{as} \; \mu^{1/3} \end{array}$



ANTIPROTONS MacGibbon & Carr (1991)

 $n_{p}/n_{p} = 10^{-4}$ for 0.1< (E/GeV) <10 => some p⁻ from PBHs?

Small excess at low energy => possible primary contribution

Antiprotons \Rightarrow T \Rightarrow T(M_{*}) \Rightarrow local PBHs in explosive phase



CAN PBHS GENERATE PRIMARY POSITRONS?



FIG. 4: PAMELA positron fraction with theoretical models. The PAMELA positron fraction compared with theoretical model. The solid line shows a calculation by Moskalenko & Strong 20 for pure secondary production of positrons during the propagation of cosmic-rays in the galaxy. One standard deviation error bars are shown. If not visible, they lie inside the data points.

More likely from WIMP annihilations in UCMHs than PBHs

CAN PBHS GENERATE ANNIHILATION RADIATION FROM GALACTIC CENTRE?

511 keV line => $3x10^{43}$ ann/sec

Bambi et al. (2008) 10¹⁶g PBHs could explain this and dark matter without exceeding γ-ray background



DO EVAPORATING PBHS FORM PHOTOSPHERES?



More careful calculation => <u>no</u> photosphere! MacGibbon, Carr & Page (2008)

CAN PBH EXPLOSIONS GENERATE g-RAY BURSTS?

 $\begin{array}{l} GRB \Longrightarrow dn/dt < 10^{-6} \ pc^{-3}y^{-1} \ (if \ uniform) \ or < 1 \ pc^{-3}y^{-1} \ (if \ in \ halo) \\ Galactic \ \gamma \ halo \ \Longrightarrow \ dn/dt = 0.06 \ pc^{-3}y^{-1} \ \ Lehoucq \ et \ al \ (2009) \\ Cosmic \ rays \ \Longrightarrow \ dn/dt = 0.02 \ pc^{-3}y^{-1} \ \ Maki \ et \ al \ (1996) \end{array}$

Can some short (100msec) γ -ray bursts be PBH explosions?

Cline et al (2003) => 42 BATSE events Cline et al (2005) => ? KONUS events Cline et al (2007) => 8 Swift events Local => Euclidean dbn, V/V_{max} test



OTHER CONSTRAINTS ON EVAPORATING PBHS



NEUTRINO BACKGROUND LIMIT



(cf. Bugaev & Konishchev 2002, Bugaev & Klimai 2009, CKSY)



(Green 1999, Lemoine 2000)



CMB DISTORTIONS

Thermalization for t <10 s => photon-to-baryon increase for M > 10⁹g =>

 $\beta'(M) < 10^9 (M/M_{Pl})^{-1} \approx 10^{-5} (M/10^9 \text{ g})^{-1} (M < 10^9 \text{ g})$ (Zeldovich & Starobinsky 1977)

Evaporate after freeze-out of double Compton scattering for t >7x10⁶s $\Rightarrow \mu$ distortion in CMB for M > 10¹¹g

Evaporate after freeze-out of single Compton scattering for t >3x10⁹s \Rightarrow y distortion in CMB for M > 10¹²g



(Tashiro & Sugiyama 2008)



DAMPING OF SMALL-SCALE CMB ANISOTROPIES CKSY

Similar effect to that of decaying particles (Zhang et al 2007)





(Mack & Wesley (2008) **21 CM ABSORPTION**

PBHs with 5×10^{13} g < M < 10^{14} g heat IGM in 30 < z < 90=> raise 21cm brightness temp => reduced absorption against CMB

PBHs with $M \sim 10^{14}$ g raise spin temp above CMB => 21cm seen in emission against CMB

PBHs with $10^{13}g < M < 10^{17}g =>$ less pronounced effect







BLACK HOLES AS A PROBE OF HIGHER DIMENSIONS

Scientific American May 2005 Carr and Giddings



PRIMORDIAL DENSITY PRIMORDIAL DENSITY FLUCTUATIONS Early in the history of our universe, space was filled with hot, dense places to glace, and in locations where the relative density was sufficiently high, the plasma could collapse into a black hole.



COSMIC-RAY COLLISIONS osmic rays—highly energetic articles from celestial particles from celestial sources—could smack into Earth's atmosphere and form black holes. They would explode in a shower of radiation and secondary particles that could be detected on the ground.



PARTICLE ACCELERATOR An accelerator such as the LHC could crash two particles together at such an energy that they would collapse into a black hole. Detectors would register the subsequent decay of the Black hole radius $R_s = M_P^{-1}(M_{BH}/M_P)^{1/(1+n)}$





SUMMARY



PBHs have been proposed for numerous astrophysical and cosmological purposes. There is still no definite evidence for them but a large variety of constraints over 60 mass decades provide a unique probe of the various formation scenarios to be discussed by ANNE GREEN