

Layout

Known Black Hole classes Formation scenarios for the IMBHs IMBH candidates > IMBH candidates (?) from Radio Pulsar data analysis Perspectives for the direct observation of IMBHs from Pulsar searches

Stellar mass Black Holes resulting from star evolution are supposed to be contained in many X-ray binaries: for solar metallicity, the max mass is of order few IO M_{sun}

Massive Black Holes seen in the nucleus of the galaxies: masses of order ≈ IO⁶ M_{sun} to ≈ IO⁹ M_{sun}

Are Intermediate Mass Black Holes (IMBH) of masses ≈ IOO - IO,OOO M_{sun} also part of the astronomical landscape ?

N.B. ULX in the Globular Cluster RZ2109 of NGC 4472 may be a stellar mass BH likely in a triple system [Maccarone et al. 2007 - 2010] Formation mechanisms for IMBHs

 I - Mass segregation of compact remnants in a dense star cluster

 2 – Runaway collisions of massive stars in a dense star cluster

In both cases, the seed IMBH can then grow by capturing other "ordinary" stars in the cluster

وجار الاتحديد والأرد والتحد والمناد والمعدد مقاصية وتحمج فالمداد أتخا والمحالا مستجد والانجام والاتحال ومالا فال

Formation mechanisms for IMBHs - I

we want to a state of the second of the s

Dynamical friction leads to BH confinement in the core, creating a population of BHs in binaries evolving almost in isolation

Close gravitational encounters btw BHs lead to a hierarchical BH mass growth (via mergers & exchanges) with two outcomes:

a] COMPLETE EVAPORATION OF ALL THE BHs

b] ONLY ONE IMBH (or binary IMBH) LEFT IN THE CORE





[Sigurdsson & Hernquist 1993] [Kulkarni, Hut & McMillan 1993] [Port.-Zwart & McMillan 2001] [Miller & Hamilton 2002] [Colpi et al. 2003] [Miller & Hamilton 2004]

Formation mechanisms for IMBHs – II

Mass segregation of the more massive star speeds core collapse (Spitzer instability).

Core collapse happens on the dynamical friction time scale of the more massive stars:



 $t_{cc} \simeq 3 Myr \left(\frac{R_{\rm h}}{1 {\rm pc}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{M_{cl}}{5 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2}$

Collisions between stars can then proceed in a runaway fashion: growth of a very massive stars

At the onset of SuperNova explosiona (3 Myr) the very massive star collapses directly into a IMBH

[Portegeis-Zwart & McMillan 2002] [Freitag, Gurkan & Rasio 2006 ; Gurkan, Fregeau, Rasio 2006]

the state with a particular of the state of the



Moreover, low metallicity seems required for having a low mass loss via wind and an efficient growth of a very mass star beyond IOO Msun [Glebbek et al. 2009]

Thus the "runaway star collision" scenario could be more likely applied to metal poor stellar systems

Non radio pulsar related observational evidences for IMBH candidates



HST surface brightness profile and the velocity profile (Keck spectra) suggest a I.8 (±0. 5) x IO⁴ M_{sun} IMBH on the basis of axi-symmetric models

Orbit-based axi-symmetric models fit ground and HST I.o.s velocities and proper motions (a) to constrain the M/L ratio as a function of radius and (b) to infer a limit on the mass of 3400 M_{sun} in the central 0.05 pc --> a density of 7xIO⁶M_{sun} /pc³ The central 4" appears to rotate

Non radio pulsar related observational evidences for IMBH candidates

HLX-I in the spiral galaxy ESO243-49

Hyper-luminous X-ray source with a luminosity of up to 10⁴² erg/s

X-ray, UV, optical and radio obs suggest it is an IMBH of > 500 M_{sun}

مار المتحصيل والمدور كالأحر المتحد بالمتحد المتحد المتحد والمحصور

Other non radio pulsar related signatures for IMBH candidates

GCs showing a large ratio R_{core} / R_{half-light} : compatible with about 30% of GCs in the Milky Way [Baumgardt et al. 2005] [Trenti 2008][Umbreit et al 2009]

Keplerian rising velocity dispersion close to the sphere of influence R_{imbh} of the IMBH : difficult to test due to small R_{imbh}

e.g. recently [Ibata et al. 2009 on M54] [Noyola et al 2008; Sollima et al 2009; van der Marel & Anderson 2010 on Omega Centauri]

N.B. Revised mass constraints [Maccarone & Servillat 2010] from L_x and L_{radio} of the globular clusters : now roughly compatible with the M_{BH} - σ relation [Tremaine et al 2002] seen for the central BHs in the Galaxies

The second second and the second s

Interesting cases from radio pulsar data: NGC 6752

5 MSPs discovered and timed @ Parkes in the GC NGC 6752

...ascribing the negative dP/dt - as it is usually assumed – to the effect of the cluster potential well...

$$\begin{split} \left(\dot{P}/P \right)_{\rm obs} &= (a_l/c) + \left(\dot{P}/P \right)_{\rm int} \\ &|a_l/c| > |(\dot{P}/P)_{\rm obs}| \\ &|\frac{a_{l,max}}{c}| \sim \frac{G}{c} \frac{M_{\rm cyl}(<\Theta_{\perp})}{\pi D^2 \Theta_{\perp}^2} \\ &|\frac{\dot{P}}{P}(\Theta_{\perp})|_{\rm obs} < 5.1 \times 10^{-1} \frac{8}{L_V} \frac{M}{10^4 L_V \odot {\rm pc}^{-2}} {\rm sec}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Phinney 1992

...one can derive a lower bound to the Mass-to-Luminosity ratio M/L for the central region of the globular cluster...

The case of the 3 MSPs in the core of NGC 6752

13 Hand Jan Tom Line house It a

a unusually high M/L>6-7 in the central regions of the cluster

≈ 3400 M_{sun}
of low-luminosity matter
in the inner 0.076 pc

[D'Amico et al 2002] [Ferraro et al 2003]

moreover...PSR-A in NGC 6752 is the most offset pulsar ever detected in a globular cluster and PSR-C is also a very offset one..

both pulsars probably ejected in the halo by a dynamical encounter in the cluster core occurred less than ≈ I.O Gyr ago [Colpi, Possenti & Gualandris 2002]

a binary IMBH of mass [IO-50 M_{sun}] appears the most probable center of scattering if the MSP has been recycled <u>before</u> the scattering

a single IMBH of 500 M_{sun} is viable if the MSP has been reycled <u>after</u> the scattering [Sigurdsson 2003, Mar] [Colpi, Mapelli, Possenti 2004

...but is PSR-A really belonging to the globular cluster ?

The radial velocity of the binary "could" be compatible with a MSP+WD(of 0.2 M_{sun}) system at the cluster distance [Cocozza et al 2006, Bassa et al 2006]

... what about MSPs' proper motions?

The projected velocity vectors of PSR-A and PSR-C are consistent with each other and with that of PSR-D (one of the inner MSPs), but unconsistent wrt the optical determination of the cluster proper motion, and with the proper motion of the two inner MSPs with a negative dP/dt

Needed the measurement of d³P/dt³ for confirmation...

in the stand with the second stand to the second stand stand stands and the second stand stands and the second

IMBH effects on timing of pulsars in GCs

in the second second and the second as the second as

Calculated the expected TOA fluctuations induced by space-time oscillations due to a binary IMBH lying close to the line-of-sight btw a PSR and the Earth

For the case of a binary IMBH consisting of IOM_{sun} and IO³M_{sun} components and a IO year orbital period:

Globular Cluster	Pulsar	b (lyr)	R_I (ns)		R_{II} (ns) Reference
47 Tuc 47 Tuc NGC 6266 NGC 6624 M28 (NGC 6626) NGC 6752 NGC 6752 M15 (NGC 7078) M15 (NGC 7078)	J0024-7204O J0024-7204W J1701-3006B B1820-30A B1821-24 J1910-5959B J1910-5959E B2127+11D B2127+11H	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.37 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.38 \\ 0.49 \\ 0.19 \\ 0.37 \end{array}$	$0.8 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.4$	$ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 4\\ 50\\ 3\\ 200\\ 2\\ 0.9\\ 40\\ 3 \end{array} $	Freire et al. (2003) Camilo et al. (2000) Possenti et al. (2003) Biggs et al. (1994) Rutledge et al. (2004) D'Amico et al. (2002) D'Amico et al. (2002) Anderson (1993) Anderson (1993)

In similar geometrical configurations, the Shapiro delay effects are also very small [Larchenkoval & Lutovinov 2009]

Needed the measurement of the effects on at least <u>TWO MSPs</u>

الاشتار والمراجع الأحر الأعدي بالفن وتصادر مقاوس ومرجعهم أط

Recycled Pulsar + IMBH binary in globular clusters ?

Statistical study of the process of dynamical capture of a MSP by a single or binary IMBH (IOO-300 M_{sun}) in a globular cluster

Given the orbital parameters, the formed [MSP+IMBH] have relatively short lifetime before coalescence: of order ≈ 10⁸ - 10⁹ yr The formation rate of [MSP+IMBH] is quite low: of order ≈ 10⁻¹¹ yr

Given the number of known MSPs in GCs of the Galaxy (≈ I5O) , the expected number of observable [MSP+IMBH] is of order N_{MSP+IMBH} ≈ O. I if all the GCs with a known MSP would contain a IMBH

If SKA will unveil a IO times larger population of MSPs in GCs then $N_{MSP+IMBH} > \approx I$

i.e. SKA will discover a MSP+IMBH if IOO-300 M_{sun} IMBHs are common in the GCs [DeVecchi, Colpi, Mapelli, Possenti 2007-2008]

Young PSR+IMBH in dense super star clusters ?

Assuming that the very bright ULX sources (> IO⁴⁰ erg/sec) are IMBHs accreting from a massive (> I5 M_{sun}) star [e.g. P.Zwart et al. 2004] one may study the conditions for the X-ray binary to become a [IMBH+YoungPSR] binary

0.20 0.15 (0.10 (10)) 0.05 0.02 10 03 05 0A 02 00 a post a

The binary survives Supernova in the 99% of the case, even including strong kicks span

Post Supernova and orbital separation \approx I-IO AU while eccentricity span the whole range O - I

[Patruno, Colpi, Faulkner, Possenti 2005]

Given those parameters, <u>detection</u> of a Young (<IO Myr) PSR in one such system would have been technically possible in the PM Survey المارية في عاد تعديد الأور التحديد المارية معادية معادية وتحصي الحديد تعاد المراجع ، تصحير المحمد المار حد المعال الم

Young PSR+IMBH in dense super star clusters ? So why no detection ? Assuming: R = the ratio btw the # of [IMBH+massiveMS] binary ending as a IMBH+PSR binary and the # of bright ULX f = the ratio btw the # of bright ULX and the total # of ULX Nux b = the beaming factor TPSR = characteristic life-time of the PSR

 τ_{X} = characteristic life-time of the X-ray phase

 $N_{\text{IMBH-PSR}} \simeq 20 f \left(\frac{\tau_{\text{PSR}}}{10 \text{ Myrs}} \right) \left(\frac{10 \text{ Myrs}}{\tau_{\text{X}}} \right) \frac{\Re}{200} \frac{10}{b} N_{\text{ULX}}$ [Patruno et al 2005]

Assuming no additional bias for detection wrt isolated Young PSRs: $N_{\text{IMBH-PSR}}$ (observed in PMSurvey) = $f N_{ULX}$ Chances for LOFAR and SKA (sensitive to PSR at distances where $N_{ULX} >> 1$)?

