## Black holes in the presence of a minimal length

Piero Nicolini

Frankfurt Institute for Advances Studies (FIAS) Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goethe University Frankfurt Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Max Planck Institut fuer Radioastronomie, Bonn June 2010

イロト (雪) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## (Mini) Black hole life



 $T_H$  vs  $r_H$ 

- Balding phase
- Spin down phase
- Schwarzschild phase  $T_H \sim 1/r_H$
- Planck phase (?)

(Mini) Black hole life



 $T_H$  vs  $r_H$ 

・ロト・日本・モート モー もくの

#### Balding phase

- Spin down phase
- Schwarzschild phase  $T_H \sim 1/r_H$
- Planck phase (?)

(Mini) Black hole life



 $T_H$  vs  $r_H$ 

- Balding phase
- Spin down phase
- Schwarzschild phase  $T_H \sim 1/r_H$
- Planck phase (?)

(Mini) Black hole life



 $T_H$  vs  $r_H$ 

- Balding phase
- Spin down phase
- Schwarzschild phase  $T_H \sim 1/r_H$
- Planck phase (?)

(Mini) Black hole life



 $T_H$  vs  $r_H$ 

- Balding phase
- Spin down phase
- Schwarzschild phase  $T_H \sim 1/r_H$
- Planck phase (?)

# (Mini) Black holes @ LHC & Cosmic ray showers





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

## Problem

- Curvature Singularity
- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- ▶ Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

## Solution

- We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- Viable approaches
  - String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometry

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### Problem

- Curvature Singularity
- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

#### Solution

- We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- Viable approaches
  - String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometry

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### Problem

#### Curvature Singularity

- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

## Solution

- We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- ▶ Viable approaches
  - te String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometry

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- ► Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### Problem

- Curvature Singularity
- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

## Solution

- № We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- ▶ Viable approaches
  - te String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometrype

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- ► Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### Problem

- Curvature Singularity
- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

## Solution

- We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- Viable approaches
  - String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometry

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### Problem

- Curvature Singularity
- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

#### Solution

- We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- Viable approaches
  - String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometry

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### Problem

- Curvature Singularity
- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

#### Solution

- We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- Viable approaches
  - String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometry

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### Problem

- Curvature Singularity
- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

#### Solution

- We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- Viable approaches
  - String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometry

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### Problem

- Curvature Singularity
- Divergent temperature at the evaporation endpoint
- III defined thermodynamics
- Breakdown of General Relativity at short scales

#### Solution

- We must invoke Quantum Gravity
- Viable approaches
  - String Theory induced Noncommutative Geometry

- Generalized Uncertainty Principle
- Loop Quantum Gravity
- Asymptotically Safe Gravity

#### The presence of a minimal length

 $\blacktriangleright$  Delocalization of source terms within a Quantum Gravity induced minimal length  $\ell$ 



The energy-momentum tensor delocalization



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへの

#### The presence of a minimal length

 $\blacktriangleright$  Delocalization of source terms within a Quantum Gravity induced minimal length  $\ell$ 

$$\delta(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \rho_{\ell}(\vec{x}^2) = \frac{M}{\left(4\pi\ell^2\right)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\vec{x}^2}{4\ell^2}\right)$$

The energy-momentum tensor delocalization



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

The presence of a minimal length

 $\blacktriangleright$  Delocalization of source terms within a Quantum Gravity induced minimal length  $\ell$ 

$$\delta(\vec{x}) \to \rho_{\ell}(\vec{x}^2) = \frac{M}{\left(4\pi\ell^2\right)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\vec{x}^2}{4\ell^2}\right)$$

The energy-momentum tensor delocalization

$$T_0^0 = -\rho_d(\vec{x}^2)$$
(1)  
$$T_0^{\mu\nu} = \rho_{\mu\nu}(\vec{x}^2)$$
(2)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

#### The presence of a minimal length

 $\blacktriangleright$  Delocalization of source terms within a Quantum Gravity induced minimal length  $\ell$ 

$$\delta(\vec{x}) \rightarrow 
ho_{\ell}(\vec{x}^2) = rac{M}{\left(4\pi\ell^2\right)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-rac{\vec{x}^2}{4\ell^2}
ight)$$

The energy-momentum tensor delocalization

$$T_0^0 = -\rho_\ell(\vec{x}^2)$$
 (1)

・ロト < 
日 > < 
日 > < 
日 > < 
日 > < 
日 > < 
日 > < 
日 > < 
日 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
10 > < 
1

#### The presence of a minimal length

 $\blacktriangleright$  Delocalization of source terms within a Quantum Gravity induced minimal length  $\ell$ 

$$\delta(\vec{x}) 
ightarrow 
ho_\ell(\vec{x}^2) = rac{M}{\left(4\pi\ell^2
ight)^{3/2}} \, \exp\left(-rac{\vec{x}^2}{4\ell^2}
ight)$$

The energy-momentum tensor delocalization

$$T_0^0 = -\rho_\ell(\vec{x}^2)$$
 (1)

$$T^{\mu\nu}; \nu = 0 \tag{2}$$

#### The presence of a minimal length

 $\blacktriangleright$  Delocalization of source terms within a Quantum Gravity induced minimal length  $\ell$ 

$$\delta(\vec{x}) 
ightarrow 
ho_\ell(\vec{x}^2) = rac{M}{\left(4\pi\ell^2
ight)^{3/2}} \, \exp\left(-rac{\vec{x}^2}{4\ell^2}
ight)$$

The energy-momentum tensor delocalization

$$T_0^0 = -\rho_\ell(\vec{x}^2)$$
 (1)

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}; \nu = 0 \tag{2}$$

#### The presence of a minimal length

 $\blacktriangleright$  Delocalization of source terms within a Quantum Gravity induced minimal length  $\ell$ 

$$\delta(\vec{x}) 
ightarrow 
ho_\ell(\vec{x}^2) = rac{M}{\left(4\pi\ell^2
ight)^{3/2}} \, \exp\left(-rac{\vec{x}^2}{4\ell^2}
ight)$$

The energy-momentum tensor delocalization

$$T_0^0 = -\rho_\ell(\vec{x}^2)$$
 (1)

$$T^{\mu\nu}; \nu = 0 \tag{2}$$

Einstein/fluid equations

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ ● ● ●

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\Phi(r)} \left( 1 - 2m(r)/r \right) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - 2m(r)/r} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2}$$
(3)

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho , \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2g_{00}}\frac{dg_{00}}{dr} = \frac{m(r) + 4\pi r^3 p_r}{r(r - 2m(r))} , \qquad (5)$$

$$\frac{dp_r}{dr} = -\frac{1}{2g_{00}}\frac{dg_{00}}{dr}(\rho + p_r) + \frac{2}{r}(p_\perp - p_r) \qquad (6)$$

$$p_r = -\rho_\ell \tag{7}$$

$$(7)$$

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\Phi(r)} \left( 1 - 2m(r)/r \right) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - 2m(r)/r} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2}$$
(3)

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho , \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2g_{00}} \frac{dg_{00}}{dr} = \frac{m(r) + 4\pi r^3 p_r}{r(r - 2m(r))} , \qquad (5)$$

$$\frac{dp_r}{dr} = -\frac{1}{2g_{00}} \frac{dg_{00}}{dr} (\rho + p_r) + \frac{2}{r} (p_\perp - p_r) \qquad (6)$$

$$p_r = -\rho_\ell \tag{7}$$

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\Phi(r)} (1 - 2m(r)/r) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - 2m(r)/r} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2}$$
(3)

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho , \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi r_{0}}\frac{dg_{00}}{dr} = \frac{m(r) + 4\pi r^{3}p_{r}}{r(r - 2m(r))},$$
(5)

$$2g_{00} dr r(r-2m(r))$$
  
 $dp_r 1 dg_{00} c 2 c c c$ 

$$\frac{Pr}{dr} = -\frac{1}{2g_{00}} \frac{g_{00}}{dr} \left(\rho + p_r\right) + \frac{1}{r} \left(p_\perp - p_r\right)$$
(6)

$$p_r = -\rho_\ell \tag{7}$$

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\Phi(r)} (1 - 2m(r)/r) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - 2m(r)/r} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2}$$
(3)

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho , \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2g_{00}}\frac{dg_{00}}{dr} = \frac{m(r) + 4\pi \ r^3 p_r}{r(r - 2m(r))} , \qquad (5)$$

$$\frac{dp_r}{dr} = -\frac{1}{2g_{00}}\frac{dg_{00}}{dr}(\rho + p_r) + \frac{2}{r}(p_\perp - p_r) \qquad (6)$$

$$p_r = -\rho_\ell \tag{7}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The solution

 $ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\right) dt^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\right)^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega^{2}$ (8)

▶  $\gamma \equiv \gamma$  (3/2,  $r^2/4\ell^2$ ) is the lower incomplete Gamma function:

 $\gamma \left(3/2, r^2/4\ell^2\right) \equiv \int_0^{r^2/4\ell^2} dt \, t^{1/2} e^{-t}$  (9)

#### The solution

$$\bullet (G_N = 1, c = 1)$$

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2$$
(8)

•  $\gamma \equiv \gamma \left( \frac{3}{2}, \frac{r^2}{4\ell^2} \right)$  is the lower incomplete Gamma function:

$$\gamma \left( 3/2 \,, r^2/4\ell^2 \right) \equiv \int_0^{r^2/4\ell^2} dt \, t^{1/2} e^{-t} \tag{9}$$

#### The solution

$$\bullet (G_N = 1, c = 1)$$

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2$$
(8)

•  $\gamma \equiv \gamma \left( 3/2 \ , r^2/4\ell^2 \right)$  is the lower incomplete Gamma function:

$$\gamma \left( 3/2 \,, r^2/4\ell^2 \right) \equiv \int_0^{r^2/4\ell^2} dt \, t^{1/2} e^{-t} \tag{9}$$

#### The solution

$$\bullet (G_N = 1, c = 1)$$

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r\sqrt{\pi}}\gamma\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2$$
(8)

•  $\gamma \equiv \gamma \left( 3/2 \ , r^2/4\ell^2 \right)$  is the lower incomplete Gamma function:

$$\gamma \left( 3/2 \ , r^2/4\ell^2 \right) \equiv \int_0^{r^2/4\ell^2} dt \ t^{1/2} e^{-t} \tag{9}$$

The horizon equation  $g_{00}(r_H) = -g_{rr}^{-1}(r_H) = 0$ 

The horizon equation  $g_{00}(r_H) = -g_{rr}^{-1}(r_H) = 0$ 

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・今日や

#### The Noncommutative Schwarzshild Geometry

The horizon equation  $g_{00}(r_H) = -g_{rr}^{-1}(r_H) = 0$ 



The horizon equation  $g_{00}(r_H) = -g_{rr}^{-1}(r_H) = 0$ 


The horizon equation  $g_{00}(r_H) = -g_{rr}^{-1}(r_H) = 0$ 



 $M = 1.9 \ell \Rightarrow$  one degenerate horizon  $r_0 \approx 3.0 \ell$ , extremal BH.

▲ロト ▲園ト ▲臣ト ▲臣ト 三臣 - のへで

### At the black hole centre

The Ricci scalar near the origin is

$$R(0) = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\ell^3}\tag{10}$$

 The curvature is constant and positive ( deSitter geometry )
 If M < M<sub>0</sub> ⇒ no BH and no naked singularity (mini-gravastar?)

Large mass regime,  $M \gg M_0$ 

- Inner horizon → origin
- $\blacktriangleright$  outer horizon  $\rightarrow 2M$

### At the black hole centre

The Ricci scalar near the origin is

$$R(0) = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\ell^3}\tag{10}$$

 ► The curvature is constant and positive ( deSitter geometry )
 ► If M < M<sub>0</sub> ⇒ no BH and no naked singularity (mini-gravastar?)

Large mass regime,  $M\gg M_0$ 

- ▶ inner horizon → origin
- $\blacktriangleright$  outer horizon  $\rightarrow 2M$

### At the black hole centre

The Ricci scalar near the origin is

$$R(0) = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\ell^3}\tag{10}$$

 The curvature is constant and positive ( deSitter geometry )
 If M < M<sub>0</sub> ⇒ no BH and no naked singularity (mini-gravastar?)

Large mass regime,  $M\gg M_0$ 

- inner horizon ightarrow origin
- $\blacktriangleright$  outer horizon  $\rightarrow 2M$

### At the black hole centre

The Ricci scalar near the origin is

$$R(0) = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\ell^3}\tag{10}$$

- ▶ The curvature is constant and positive ( deSitter geometry )
- If M < M<sub>0</sub> ⇒ no BH and no naked singularity (mini-gravastar?)

Large mass regime, *M* ≫ *M*<sub>0</sub> ▶ inner horizon → origin ▶ outer horizon → 2*M* 

### At the black hole centre

The Ricci scalar near the origin is

$$R(0) = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\ell^3}\tag{10}$$

- ▶ The curvature is constant and positive ( deSitter geometry )
- If M < M<sub>0</sub> ⇒ no BH and no naked singularity (mini-gravastar?)

Large mass regime,  $M \gg M_0$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  inner horizon  $\rightarrow$  origin
- outer horizon  $\rightarrow 2M$

### At the black hole centre

The Ricci scalar near the origin is

$$R(0) = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\ell^3}\tag{10}$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

- ▶ The curvature is constant and positive ( deSitter geometry )
- If M < M<sub>0</sub> ⇒ no BH and no naked singularity (mini-gravastar?)
- Large mass regime,  $M \gg M_0$ 
  - inner horizon  $\rightarrow$  origin
  - outer horizon  $\rightarrow 2M$

### At the black hole centre

The Ricci scalar near the origin is

$$R(0) = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\ell^3}\tag{10}$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

- ▶ The curvature is constant and positive ( deSitter geometry )
- If M < M<sub>0</sub> ⇒ no BH and no naked singularity (mini-gravastar?)
- Large mass regime,  $M \gg M_0$ 
  - inner horizon  $\rightarrow$  origin
  - outer horizon  $\rightarrow 2M$

$$T_{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_{H}} \left[ 1 - \frac{r_{H}^{3}}{4 \ell^{3}} \frac{e^{-r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}}}{\gamma \left(3/2 ; r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}\right)} \right]$$
(11)

- ► If  $r_H^2/4\ell^2 >> 1 \Rightarrow T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}$  coincides with the Hawking result
- If r<sub>H</sub> ≃ ℓ ⇒ T<sub>H</sub> reaches a maximum ≃ 0.015 × 1/ℓ corresponds to a mass M ≃ 2.4 × ℓ and r<sub>H</sub> ≃ 4.7ℓ
- SCRAM phase: cooling down to absolute zero at r<sub>H</sub> = r<sub>0</sub> = 3.0ℓ and M = M<sub>0</sub> = 1.9ℓ, the extremal BH
- If r < r<sub>0</sub> there is no black hole.

$$T_{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_{H}} \left[ 1 - \frac{r_{H}^{3}}{4\ell^{3}} \frac{e^{-r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}}}{\gamma \left(3/2 ; r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}\right)} \right]$$
(11)

- If  $r_H^2/4\ell^2 >> 1 \Rightarrow T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}$  coincides with the Hawking result
- If r<sub>H</sub> ≃ ℓ ⇒ T<sub>H</sub> reaches a maximum ≃ 0.015 × 1/ℓ corresponds to a mass M ≃ 2.4 × ℓ and r<sub>H</sub> ≃ 4.7ℓ
- SCRAM phase: cooling down to absolute zero at r<sub>H</sub> = r<sub>0</sub> = 3.0ℓ and M = M<sub>0</sub> = 1.9ℓ, the extremal BH
- ► If r < r<sub>0</sub> there is no black hole.

$$T_{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_{H}} \left[ 1 - \frac{r_{H}^{3}}{4\ell^{3}} \frac{e^{-r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}}}{\gamma \left(3/2 ; r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}\right)} \right]$$
(11)

- ► If  $r_H^2/4\ell^2 >> 1 \Rightarrow T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}$  coincides with the Hawking result
- If r<sub>H</sub> ≃ ℓ ⇒ T<sub>H</sub> reaches a maximum ≃ 0.015 × 1/ℓ corresponds to a mass M ≃ 2.4 × ℓ and r<sub>H</sub> ≃ 4.7ℓ
- SCRAM phase: cooling down to absolute zero at  $r_H = r_0 = 3.0\ell$  and  $M = M_0 = 1.9 \ell$ , the extremal BH
- ▶ If r < r<sub>0</sub> there is no black hole.

$$T_{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_{H}} \left[ 1 - \frac{r_{H}^{3}}{4 \ell^{3}} \frac{e^{-r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}}}{\gamma \left(3/2 ; r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}\right)} \right]$$
(11)

- ► If  $r_H^2/4\ell^2 >> 1 \Rightarrow T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}$  coincides with the Hawking result
- If r<sub>H</sub> ≃ ℓ ⇒ T<sub>H</sub> reaches a maximum ≃ 0.015 × 1/ℓ corresponds to a mass M ≃ 2.4 × ℓ and r<sub>H</sub> ≃ 4.7ℓ
- ▶ SCRAM phase: cooling down to absolute zero at  $r_H = r_0 = 3.0\ell$  and  $M = M_0 = 1.9 \ell$ , the extremal BH
- ▶ If r < r<sub>0</sub> there is no black hole.

$$T_{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_{H}} \left[ 1 - \frac{r_{H}^{3}}{4\ell^{3}} \frac{e^{-r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}}}{\gamma \left(3/2 ; r_{H}^{2}/4\ell^{2}\right)} \right]$$
(11)

- ► If  $r_H^2/4\ell^2 >> 1 \Rightarrow T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}$  coincides with the Hawking result
- If r<sub>H</sub> ≃ ℓ ⇒ T<sub>H</sub> reaches a maximum ≃ 0.015 × 1/ℓ corresponds to a mass M ≃ 2.4 × ℓ and r<sub>H</sub> ≃ 4.7ℓ
- SCRAM phase: cooling down to absolute zero at  $r_H = r_0 = 3.0\ell$  and  $M = M_0 = 1.9 \ell$ , the extremal BH
- If  $r < r_0$  there is no black hole.



 $T_H$  vs  $r_H$  for the commutative case



 $T_H$  vs  $r_H$  for the commutative and NC case.

## Back reaction

- relevant back-reaction in Planck phase.
- ▶ SCRAM phase ⇒ a suppression of quantum back-reaction
- At maximum temperature, the thermal energy is  $E = T_{H}^{Max} \simeq 0.015 / \ell$ , while the mass is  $M \simeq 2.4 \ell M_{P}^{2}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $E \sim M \Rightarrow \ell \approx 0.2 L_P \sim 10^{-34} cm.$
- For this reason we can safely use unmodified form of the metric during all the evaporation process.

## Back reaction

- relevant back-reaction in Planck phase.
- SCRAM phase  $\Rightarrow$  a suppression of quantum back-reaction
- At maximum temperature, the thermal energy is  $E = T_H^{Max} \simeq 0.015 / \ell$ , while the mass is  $M \simeq 2.4 \ell M_P^2$
- $E \sim M \Rightarrow \ell \approx 0.2 L_P \sim 10^{-34} cm.$
- For this reason we can safely use unmodified form of the metric during all the evaporation process.

## Back reaction

- relevant back-reaction in Planck phase.
- ► SCRAM phase ⇒ a suppression of quantum back-reaction
- At maximum temperature, the thermal energy is  $E = T_H^{Max} \simeq 0.015 / \ell$ , while the mass is  $M \simeq 2.4 \ell M_P^2$
- $E \sim M \Rightarrow \ell \approx 0.2 L_P \sim 10^{-34} cm.$
- For this reason we can safely use unmodified form of the metric during all the evaporation process.

## Back reaction

- relevant back-reaction in Planck phase.
- ► SCRAM phase ⇒ a suppression of quantum back-reaction
- At maximum temperature, the thermal energy is  $E = T_H^{Max} \simeq 0.015 / \ell$ , while the mass is  $M \simeq 2.4 \ell M_P^2$
- $E \sim M \Rightarrow \ell \approx 0.2 L_P \sim 10^{-34} cm.$
- For this reason we can safely use unmodified form of the metric during all the evaporation process.

## Back reaction

- relevant back-reaction in Planck phase.
- ▶ SCRAM phase ⇒ a suppression of quantum back-reaction
- At maximum temperature, the thermal energy is  $E = T_H^{Max} \simeq 0.015 / \ell$ , while the mass is  $M \simeq 2.4 \ell M_P^2$
- $E \sim M \Rightarrow \ell \approx 0.2 L_P \sim 10^{-34} cm.$
- For this reason we can safely use unmodified form of the metric during all the evaporation process.

## Back reaction

- relevant back-reaction in Planck phase.
- ► SCRAM phase ⇒ a suppression of quantum back-reaction
- At maximum temperature, the thermal energy is  $E = T_H^{Max} \simeq 0.015 / \ell$ , while the mass is  $M \simeq 2.4 \ell M_P^2$

• 
$$E \sim M \Rightarrow \ell \approx 0.2 L_P \sim 10^{-34} cm$$
.

 For this reason we can safely use unmodified form of the metric during all the evaporation process.

$$b ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$b g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - there exists a mass threshold Mg below which DH do not furn.
    - $ightarrow \Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant:

#### **BH** remnants

- $m E = 1/\ell \sim M_{\odot} \sim 1$  TeV

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• 
$$ds_{(m+1)}^2 = g_{00} dt^2 - g_{00}^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega_{m-1}^2$$
  
•  $g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_*^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$  (12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - Store exists a mass threshold Mg below which BH do not form
    - $ightarrow \Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant.

#### BH remnants

- $m P = 1/\ell \sim M_{\odot} \sim 1$  TeV

・ロト・日本・モト・モー・ ヨー・ つへぐ

$$ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - ➤ ⇒ there exists a mass threshold M<sub>0</sub> below which BH do not form.
  - $ightarrow \Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant.

#### BH remnants

- $ho = 1/\ell \sim M_e \sim 1$  TeV
- req al ID-01 ----- dn 01 ----- 3nt ---- 3nt ----- dn 01 ----- 2HD in normalized and a second seco

・ロト・日本・モト・モー・ ヨー・ つへぐ

$$ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

#### Properties of the solutions

- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - ▶ ⇒ there exists a mass threshold M<sub>0</sub> below which BH do not form.
  - $ightarrow \Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant

#### BH remnants

- $ho = 1/\ell \sim M_{e} \sim 1$  TeV
- remnant cross section a <sub>D1</sub> ~ sec 10 nb ---- 10 BHs per second at LHC.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

$$ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - ➤ ⇒ there exists a mass threshold M<sub>0</sub> below which BH do not form.
  - $ightarrow \Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant

#### BH remnants

#### $m I = 1/\ell \sim M_{\odot} \sim 1$ TeV

remnant cross section a<sub>BR</sub> = m<sub>f</sub> ~ 10 nb ~ 30 BHs per second at LHC.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

$$ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - $\Rightarrow$  there exists a mass threshold  $M_0$  below which BH do not form.
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $\Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant

#### BH remnants

- ▶  $1/\ell \sim M_{*} \sim 1$  TeV
- erement or section or set and the section of the

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

$$ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - ►  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a mass threshold  $M_0$  below which BH do not form.
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $\Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant

#### BH remnants

- ▶  $1/\ell \sim M_* \sim 1$  TeV
- remnant cross section  $\sigma_{BH} \simeq \pi r_0^2 \sim 10$  nb  $\longrightarrow 10$  BHs per second at LHC.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ クタマ

$$ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - ►  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a mass threshold  $M_0$  below which BH do not form.
  - ightarrow  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant

#### BH remnants

- ▶  $1/\ell \sim M_* \sim 1$  TeV
- ▶ remnant cross section  $\sigma_{BH} \simeq \pi r_0^2 \sim 10$  nb  $\longrightarrow 10$  BHs per second at LHC.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つへぐ

$$ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - ►  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a mass threshold  $M_0$  below which BH do not form.
  - ightarrow  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant

#### BH remnants

- ▶  $1/\ell \sim M_* \sim 1$  TeV
- ▶ remnant cross section  $\sigma_{BH} \simeq \pi r_0^2 \sim 10$  nb  $\longrightarrow$  10 BHs per second at LHC.

$$ds_{(m+1)}^{2} = g_{00} dt^{2} - g_{00}^{-1} dr^{2} - r^{2} d\Omega_{m-1}^{2}$$

$$g_{00} = 1 - \frac{1}{M_{*}^{m-1}} \frac{2M}{r^{m-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

- Properties of the solutions
- Geometric and thermodynamic behavior equivalent to the 4d one.
  - ►  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a mass threshold  $M_0$  below which BH do not form.
  - ightarrow  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a zero temperature black hole remnant

#### BH remnants

- ▶ 1/ℓ ~ M<sub>\*</sub> ~ 1 TeV
- ▶ remnant cross section  $\sigma_{BH} \simeq \pi r_0^2 \sim 10 \text{ nb} \longrightarrow 10 \text{ BHs per second at LHC.}$

#### Maximum Temperatures for different m in the neutral case

		4	5	6	7		9	10
$T_{H}^{max}$ (GeV)	$18 imes 10^{16}$		43		67	78	89	
$T_{H}^{max}$ (10 <sup>15</sup> K)	$.21 imes10^{16}$			.65		.91	1.0	1.1

#### Remnant Masses and radii for different m

### Maximum Temperatures for different m in the neutral case

	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$T_{H}^{max}$ (GeV)	$18 imes10^{16}$	30	43	56	67	78	89	98
$T_{H}^{max}$ (10 <sup>15</sup> K)	$.21 imes10^{16}$	.35	.50	.65	.78	.91	1.0	1.1

#### Remnant Masses and radii for different m

	4			

・ロト・日本・モト・モー・ ヨー・ つへぐ

### Maximum Temperatures for different m in the neutral case

	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$T_{H}^{max}$ (GeV)	$18 imes10^{16}$	30	43	56	67	78	89	98
$T_{H}^{max}$ (10 <sup>15</sup> K)	$.21 imes10^{16}$	.35	.50	.65	.78	.91	1.0	1.1

### Remnant Masses and radii for different m

	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$M_0$ (TeV)	$2.3 \times 10^{16}$	6.7	24	94	$3.8  imes 10^2$	$1.6  imes 10^3$	$7.3 imes10^3$	$3.4 imes10^4$
$r_0 (10^{-4} \text{ fm})$	$4.88 \times 10^{-16}$	5.29	4.95	4.75	4.62	4.52	4.46	4.40

・ロト・日本・モト・モー・ ヨー・ つへぐ

# Potential catastrophic risk @ LHC

Black hole life times



Numerical results

Assuming M<sub>p</sub> = 10 TeV; for both brane and bulk emission



for any m = 3 - 10.



# Potential catastrophic risk @ LHC

Black hole life times

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -A_H \Phi, \qquad \Phi = 2 \int \frac{d^m p}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{8}\ell^2 p^2} p}{e^{p\beta_m} - 1}$$
(13)

## Numerical results

Assuming M<sub>in</sub> = 10 TeV, for both brane and bulk emission

$$t_{
m decay} \lesssim 10^{-16} \, {
m sec} \; ,$$
 (14)

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・今日や

for any m = 3 - 10.
Potential catastrophic risk @ LHC

Black hole life times

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -A_H \Phi, \qquad \Phi = 2 \int \frac{d^m p}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{8}\ell^2 p^2} p}{e^{p\beta_m} - 1} \qquad (13)$$

Numerical results

• Assuming  $M_{in} = 10$  TeV, for both brane and bulk emission

$$t_{
m decay} \lesssim 10^{-16} \, {
m sec} \; ,$$
 (14)

for any m = 3 - 10.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ りゃく

Potential catastrophic risk @ LHC

Black hole life times

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -A_H \Phi, \qquad \Phi = 2 \int \frac{d^m p}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{8}\ell^2 p^2} p}{e^{p\beta_m} - 1} \qquad (13)$$

#### Numerical results

• Assuming  $M_{in} = 10$  TeV, for both brane and bulk emission

$$t_{
m decay} \lesssim 10^{-16} \, {
m sec} \ ,$$
 (14)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

for any m = 3 - 10.

Potential catastrophic risk @ LHC

Black hole life times

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -A_H \Phi, \qquad \Phi = 2 \int \frac{d^m p}{(2\pi)^m} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{8}\ell^2 p^2} p}{e^{p\beta_m} - 1} \qquad (13)$$

#### Numerical results

• Assuming  $M_{in} = 10$  TeV, for both brane and bulk emission

$$t_{
m decay} \lesssim 10^{-16} \, {
m sec} \; ,$$
 (14)

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ クタマ

for any m = 3 - 10.

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- ▶ one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity.

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- ► Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter bolt in place of the ring singularity.

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- ► The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity.

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- ► a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity

#### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- ロト - 4 日 - 4 日 - 4 日 - 9 9 9 9

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- ロト - 4 日 - 4 日 - 4 日 - 9 9 9 9

## Recent solutions (2010)

#### Spinning case

- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity

### Black hole solutions in the presence of $\ell$

- Regular Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstroem solutions
- one, two or no horizon
- a regular deSitter core in place of the coordinate singularity
- The singular behavior of the Hawking temperature is cured.
- Found also the dirty, extradimensional cases and wormholes.

- Spinning case
- Charged spinning case
- Regular deSitter belt in place of the ring singularity

## P. N., 200

"Noncommutative black holes, the final appeal to quantum gravity: a review" Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A **24**, 1229.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

### L. Modesto and P. N., 2010

## P. N., 2009

"Noncommutative black holes, the final appeal to quantum gravity: a review" Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A **24**, 1229.

L. Modesto and P. N., 2010

## P. N., 2009

"Noncommutative black holes, the final appeal to quantum gravity: a review" *Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A* **24**, 1229.

L. Modesto and P. N., 2010

## P. N., 2009

"Noncommutative black holes, the final appeal to quantum gravity: a review" *Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A* **24**, 1229.

L. Modesto and P. N., 2010