# The formation and evolution of galaxies in the ΛCDM model

## Lucio Mayer



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zu

#### **Collaborators**:

Fabio Governato (U. of Washington), Tobias Kaufmann (UC Irvine), Beth Willman (CfA Harvard), Chris Brook (U. of Washington), Alyson Brooks (U. of Washington/Catech), Simone Callegari (Phd student, University of Zurich), Robert Feldmann (PhD student, ETH Zurich), Geppina Coppola (PhD student, University of Zurich), James Wadsley (McMaster University), Thomas Quinn (U. of Washington),

## Outline

-Short review: physics of galaxy formation in the cold dark matter model (SKA excellent probe)

-Simple models of disk galaxy formation

-Cosmological simulations of galaxy formation
■Long standing problems: the angular momentum of disks
■New successes ----> formation of realistic disk galaxies
■Cold gas as a tracer of galaxy formation --- synergy between simulations and SKA
Evolution of HI disks of spiral galaxies, gas accretion, galaxy interactions

### The current cosmological paradigm: the ACDM model



Cold Dark Matter (CDM) = particles interact via gravity, (e.g WIMPS), negligible thermal velocity, collisionless physics

#### Bottom-up formation of Milky Way halo in ACDM model

z=11.9

800 x 600 physical kpc

Diemand, Kuhlen, Madau 2006

Via Lactea - largest simulation of galaxy halo (Diemand et al. 2007) 300 million particles with our parallel treecode PKDGRAV

## Structure of dark matter halos



**CDM** halos have central cusps (density  $H(z) = H_0 \left[\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + (1 - \Omega_{\Lambda,0} - \Omega_0)(1 + z)^2 + \Omega_0(1 + z)^3\right]^{1/2}$  diverges towards center)

 $\rho_{\rm G}(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{(r/r_s)^{\gamma} (1 + (r/r_s)^{\alpha})^{(\beta - \gamma)/\alpha}} \quad \text{NFW profile has } (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 3, 1)$ 



#### Galaxy formation in CDM Universe: baryons in halos

Baryons are captured by the gravity of galaxy-sized dark matter halo Cool within halo (Tcool < Thubble) inside-out (density increases towards halo center)

 Form spinning disks - gas settles at radius of centrifugal equilibrium because both gas and dark matter have some angular momentum
 Gas disk forms stars out of the coldest (molecular) phase



(Fall & Rees 1977; White & Rees, 1978)

## Spheroids from mergers of disks – N-Body simulation

Merging of two evolved spiral galaxies

MD=0.05, Top view

Created by Robert Feldmann August 2006

Institute of Astronomy, Department of Physics ETH Zurich

## **Cooling function (assuming ionization equilibrium)**



For T < 10<sup>6</sup> K cooling by: -- Recombination -- collisional excitation/ radiative decay → peaks in cooling function Note: cosmic UV bg changes cooling function because changes ion abundance

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = n_e n_H f(T)$$

**Cooling rate** 

Fall & Rees 1977; Silk 1977; White & Rees 1978: Gas at virial temperature in dark halo first shock heats to virial temperature Tvir and then cools slowly tcool > tcoll EXAMPLE:  $10^{11}$  Mo gas cloud in 5 x  $10^{12}$  Mo halo, f=Mgal/Mhalo =  $0.05 - \rightarrow$ tcool ~ 2 Gyr, tcoll < 1 Gyr

## Stability of virial shocks: cold vs. hot accretion

The argument that gas is shock heated when it falls inside dark halos is not true in general (Keres et al. 2005).

#### •Shocks in infalling gas unstable if $t_{cool} < t_{comp}$



Note:M\* decreases with  $z \rightarrow cold$  accretion more important at high z HI filaments of ~ 10° Mo detectable out to z ~ 2.5 for a 1000 hours integration with SKA (Van der Hulst et al. 2004)

## Formation of disks: basics

The equilibrium properties of a gas disk forming in a dark matter halo (mass, radius, density profile, temperature) depends on:

#### (6)Gravity

Mostly provided by the dark matter halo, but also by the disk. The disk of a typical spiral galaxy is *self-gravitating*; EXAMPLE: M<sub>dark</sub>/M<sub>baryon</sub> ~ 1 at the solar circle in the MW (e.g. Binney & Tremaine 2008)

#### (2)Gas pressure

Determined by the balance between radiative cooling and heating. heating processes are: (1) shock heating, (2) heating by stellar (UV) irradiation, (3) heating by the uniform cosmic UV background (important at z > 2, see Haardt & Madau 1998, 2001) (4) radiative/turbulent heating by supernovae explosions and supermassive black holes.

#### (3)Angular momentum

This depends on the initial angular momentum distribution of dark matter and baryons and on how this is exchanged between them, and within them, DURING and AFTER gas collapse

## Angular momentum

## **Spin parameter**

A useful definition: the spin parameter

$$\lambda = J|E|^{1/2}G^{-1}M^{-5/2}$$

Meaning of spin parameter (can be verified using isothermal sphere in virial equilibrium, with radius *R*, velocity dispersion σ and *Vrot= J/MR*)

> Distribution of halo spins from cosmological simulations Mean  $\lambda \sim 0.05$

$$\lambda \approx 0.4 V_{rot} / \sigma$$



#### A simple model: Exponential disks in spherical CDM halos (Mo, Mao & White 1998) (i) Conservation of angular momentum (ii) J<sub>gas</sub>/M<sub>gas</sub> 18 torques) (iii) Expone NGC 2776 (SABe) 20 Туре І N arcsec 22 mag For isotherma 24 spheres E2628 $R_d$ 60 100 120 140 160 20 4080 O [arcsec] R

Semi-analytical models that study the equilibrium disk configuration in an isolated  $\Lambda$ CDM dark halo with assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) produce disks with realistic sizes

(Mo, Mao & White 1998)

Data points Courteau 1997 (Sb-Sc spirals)



Inspiring result: CDM halos have the right J to yield correct disk formation (if conservation of J and the other assumptions hold true...)

## **Angular Momentum Problem:scaling laws**

#### Disks are too small at a given rotation speed (Vrot=Vcirc= measure of mass)

#### Disks rotate too fast at a given luminosity -> disks too compact so Vrot ~ (GM/R<sub>disk</sub>)<sup>1/2</sup> too high



Navarro & Steinmetz 2000

Both in observations and simulations Jdisk=2Rd\*Vrot, where Rd is computed by fitting an exponential profile to the stellar surface density

## Is disk formation CDM-compatible?

Original interpretation of angular momentum deficiency (Navarro Benz 1991, Navarro & White 1994):

Gas cools too efficiently inside halos -→ when halos merge gas lumps lose angular momentum by dynamical friction and form small, compact disks with low angular momentum

Solutions initially proposed (late 90s):

(11)Heat the gas in progenitors by e.g. supernovae feedback → if gas more diffuse and extended dynamical friction less efficient-→ lower angular momentum loss (e.g. Navarro & Steinmetz 2000)

(2)Change dark matter model; if structure formation less lumpy (e.g. warm dark matter) more diffuse gas because no collapse in halos at small scales (e.g. Sommer-Larsen & Dolgov 2003)

#### GALAXY FORMATION – A MULTI-FACETED PROBLEM

#### TO SOLVE IT WE NEED:

**•RIGHT COSMOLOGY/STRUCTURE FORMATION -** provides the initial conditions (e.g. J, halo masses/densities) and the global dynamics (hierachical merging/accretion). Let us assume ΛCDM model is correct.

■"COMPLETE" INPUT PHYSICS radiative cooling, star formation, heating mechanisms in interstellar medium (supernovae explosions, radiative backgrounds, e.g. cosmic UV bg) ----→ should yield right thermodynamics of baryons.

#### **•RELIABLE NUMERICAL MODELS**

Numerical simulations (needed due to complexity) rely on discrete representation + solution of continuum CDM and baryonic fluid by particles/finite grid cells  $\rightarrow$  solve exactly Collisionless Boltzmann Equation (CDM) and Euler equation (baryons) coupled with gravity only in the limit of infinite number of particles/grid cells...

## **3D** Isolated galaxy collapse simulation

Kaufmann, Mayer et al. (2006, 2007).



# Numerical issues: angular momentumKaufmann, Mayer et al. 2007Convergence

**MW-sized model** (Vcirc ~ 160 km/s, c=10, fb=0.1,λ=0.045)



Conservation of J improves with increasing Ngas.

Convergence not reached even with ~ 10° gas particles but loss of Gas particles in a sphere of initial radius ~ cooling radius = 80 kpc are followed. These particles end up in the disk, i.e. they trace the angular momentum evolution of disk material (1)Artificial viscosity torques; (2) spurious hydro torques between cold disk and surrounding hot phase; (3) spurious gravitational torques between cold gas and hot halo

## High resolution galaxy formation

(Governato, Mayer et al. 2004)

Multi-mass refinement technique (Katz 1992): < 1kpc spatial resolution in a 100Mpc box (DM + GAS)

Large scale tidal torques preserved, crucial for angular momentum of matter

 gas cooling

 star formation,

 cosmic UV background

 (ineffective) thermal feedback

 from supernovae explosions



## A ACDM MW-sized galaxy at z=0 Ngas,dm ~ 10<sup>5</sup>



Frames of 30 kpc on a side

#### Disk (+ bar)

## **Bulge + Stellar Halo**

Stellar ages are shown (brighter colors for younger ages) boxes are 40 kpc

Galaxy has Mbulge/Mdisk ~ 0.5, while Mbulge/Mdisk ~ 0.2 in MW.
 Mdisk ~ Mdisk (MW) but a factor of 2 too small compared to MW

## Input physics: The Multiphase, turbulent ISM

a nightmare for galaxy formation modelers!

•Multi-scale (< 1 pc to kpc) – but the resolution of cosmological simulations is at best – 100-500 pc.

 Multi-physics: cooling, heating, phase transitions (e.g. from HI to H<sub>2</sub>), star formation, stellar explosions, self-gravity, MHD phenomena, viscous phenomena (what source of









## A (sub-grid) attempt to model ISM physics Stinson et al 2006



2 free parameters: C\* (SF efficiency), eSN (supernova heating efficiency) Supernova blast-wave model based on McKee & Ostriker (1977) *Key feature of sub-grid model :* cooling stopped in region surrounding supernovae explosions for t ~ 10<sup>7</sup> years •*Mimics adiabatic expansion phase of supernova blast wave* (Sedov-Taylor phase). Volume of region affected by blast waves self-consistently calculated based on McKee & Ostriker (1977) •Cooling shut-off imescale also of the same order of estimated decay time of interstellar turbulence (Klessen & MacLow 2002)



### Effect of SN feedback on SFH of a 10<sup>11</sup> Solar Masses Galaxy



SFH includes all progenitors at any given time

Without "blastwave" feedback (only thermal feedback) star formation history follows merging history.

If "blastwave" feedback is on, star Formation peaks at z < 1AFTER Last Major Merger.

Early mergers inefficient and gas rich SF in bulges suppressed.



Mac Arthur Courteau and Bell 2004

Runs with SN Feedback reproduce the observed Vrot vs Age trend.

Star Formation delayed/suppressed in small progenitors. State-of-the art cosmological hydro simulation of MW-sized galaxy formation.

Last major merger at  $z \sim 2$ , then fairly quiescent evolution with only a few accretion episodes

(Ngas, Ndm ~ 2 x 10<sup>6</sup> within R < Rvir + blast-wave feedback model )



Frame size = 100 kpc comoving (Governato, Willman, Mayer et al. 2006, 2007)

# Effect of Increasing Resolution on the size of disks N=DM+Gas+stars



## **Rotation curve vs. resolution**

#### Rotation curve measures central mass concentration



FIG. 1.— Template RCs parameterized as functions of exponential disk scale lengths. Each curve is labeled on the right by its mean *I*-band absolute magnitude ( $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ). The final sample includes 2155 RCs extending beyond 2  $r_d$ , and with inclination to the line of sight  $i < 80^{\circ}$ . The vertical, dotted lines show the interval over which the velocity normalization was performed (see §2). The error bars are Poissonian errors on the mean. Polyev fits to the data points are indicated by solid lines: the fit coefficients are presented in

### At high resolution rotation curve begins to resemble that of an early-type spiral galaxy (e.g. M31)

## **Mock HI observations from simulations**

Slip et al. in prep

GASOLINE now includes calculation of HI fraction in presence of UV background, including self-shielding effects



HI and optical maps generated from a **simulated** isolated test dwarf galaxy. The x and y scales are in kpc. **Upper left:** The HI column density in atoms cm<sup>-2</sup>. **Upper right:** A three-color u, g, r image. **Lower left:** The HI velocity field in km s<sup>-1</sup>. **Lower right:** The HI velocity dispersion in km s<sup>-1</sup>.



#### **The Tully-Fisher Relation**

The **simulated halos** (stars) on a plot of the Tully-Fisher relation from Geha et al. (2006), using measured HI widths and I-band magnitudes. The grey background points are from a variety of sources as cited in Geha et al. (2006).

Simulations have now enough resolution to study evolution of TF from z = 2 to z = 0 (progenitors of final galaxy well resolved)---> synergy with future deep HI emission surveys by e.g. SKA



Another MW-sized galaxy, but with a different merging history. Last major merger at z ~ 1 plus several minor mergers at z < 0 (Ngas, Ndm > 10° within R < Rvir + blast-wave feedback model) (Mayer, Governato and Kaufmann 2008; Governato et al., in preparation)



Frame size = 200 kpc comoving

## HI map





**EVOLUTION OF OPTICAL DISK SIZE** 



A deep, large SKA HI survey should measure HI disk size evolution for massive spirals over a redshift range > current optical surveys → more direct tracer of disk formation (HI comes before stars and is where the angular momentum is originally stored) --→ ideal test for new generation of cosmological simulations
### Galactic HVCs, HI clouds and extraplanar gas: Evidence for clumpy gas accretion at z=0?

Grossi et al. 2008 (ALFALFA survey)

Thilker et al. 2003



size of structures:
 < 1 kpc to > 10 kpc
 small local sample
 → need large sample
 at z=0 an z > 0 -→ SKA





### Structure of the ISM/IGM at z=0.5Lots of structure in cold (<~10<sup>4</sup> K) gas as tracer of galaxy formation

Gas clouds/structure well resolved only down to 10<sup>6</sup> solar masses, resolution 0.3Kpc

Hot Halo (Blue)

Ram Pressure Stripping

High Velocity Clouds

Gas Rich Satellites

Cold Gas in Disks

250Kpc across resolution 0.3Kpc

### **Extraplanar HI emission in NGC891**

~6 other galaxies with detected emission

Fraternali et al. (2004), Oosterloo et al. (2005) find extraplanar gas rotates 15-20 km/s slower than gas in the disk Fraternali & Binney (2005); galactic fountain model does not fit the velocity gradient

### Cold pressure supported clouds in cooling hot halos via thermal instability: isolated collapse simulations

•Mcloud ~  $10^{5-}$   $10^{6}$  Mo. At hi-res (Mgas ~  $10^{3}$  Mo) clumps contribute 10% of the mass to disk, seen out to 3 times Rdisk (~ 50 kpc)

•Associated accretion rate < 0.1 Mo/yr i.e. < SFR.Most of accretion is smooth rather than clumpy

Clouds have high velocities, 100-200 km/s – relation with HVCs?

to answer need to know of much gas ionized and how much HI in clumps.

-→ Need to improve treatment of radiation physics (add cooling by metals, UV bg (Kaufmann et al. 2008) Kaufmann, Mayer et al. 2006

Simulation after after 0.5 Gyr, box length 40 kpc

gas density (slice)

gas temperature (slice)

### Dwarf satellites of bright galaxies: Gas stripping by tides+ram pressure

"big dwarf" – Vpeak= 60 km/s (M/L~ 30) - Mass ~ NGC205 (bright M31 satellite) Hot corona density:  $\rho_{max} \sim 10^{-4}$  atoms/cm<sup>3</sup> (cfr. Sembach et al. 2003, 2004) Apocenter = 250 kpc, Pericenter= 50 kpc (typical cosmological orbit)



gas density

stellar density

Mayer et al. 2006, 2007

Cold (T~ 10<sup>4</sup> K) pressure confined clouds and filaments, mass 10<sup>4</sup>-10 Mo from thermal instability in the ram pressure tail of the satellite. Have high velocities (~  $v_{sat}$ ~ 200 km/s), sink towards primary by ram pressure drag in ~ 10<sup>8</sup> years

n<sub>HI</sub> ~10<sup>19</sup>-10<sup>20</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>





vsat

### But for dwarf galaxy satellites in groups no clear evidence of stripping by tides/ram pressure



#### However:

(2) Gas has low density in dwarfs, 10<sup>19-20</sup> cm-<sup>2</sup> will become even lower as it is stripped --→ deep HI imaging

(2)Most dwarf satellites in groups at z=0 are dwarf spheroidals (no gas). Models predict progenitors of bright dSphs (Mb ~ -13) were SMC-size disky dwarfs (Mb ~ -16) that lost gas at z ~ 0.5-1 (Mayer et al. 2006 ;2007)

*Need deep HI observations at z* > 0 to reveal gas stripping of dwarfs in groups

HI distribution In M81 group observed by Green Bank Telescop (optical image overlaid)

Need many deep HI observations of galaxies in groups like this.
Better at z > 0 since interaction/merger rate higher in the past
SKA could map similar intergalactic HI structures out to z ~0.2 for millions of galaxies in a 100 square degrees survey (Van der Hulst et al. 2004)

 Large sample at z > 0 crucial to understand role of (1)tidal interactions and (2) ram pressure stripping -- likely driver of dwarf galaxy evolution
 + possible important source of fuel for large galaxies (ties with accretion)

If clear signature of ram pressure identified then can be used to infer density of elusive intragroup medium -→ test prediction of cosmological simulations on "hot mode"

### CONCLUSIONS

•Numerical simulations of (disk) galaxy formation in the LCDM framework are finally producing realistic disk galaxies

They produce a wealth of information on the evolution and structure of the cold HI component  $--- \rightarrow$  high potential for synergy with SKA

SKA should help answering several key questions of galaxy formation and evolution:

How does the gas get to galaxies (cold vs. hot accretion)?
How does the HI disk of galaxies evolve with redshift?
What is the role of mergers in building the disk?
Are HVCs and other cold HI structures observed locally the result of on-going accretion?
What is the typical gas accretion rate of galaxies?
Are tidal interactions and ram pressure the main drivers of galaxy transformation in groups (M<sub>\*</sub> ~10<sup>13</sup> Mo today)?

### GADGET-2, No Feedback

### Naab et al Apj 07



Peak Vel decreases 30% as mass resolution Increases 125 fold.

FIG. 1.— Circular velocity curves for galaxy A at four different numerical resolutions:  $40^3$ ,  $50^3$ ,  $100^3$ , and  $200^3$  SPH particles and collisionless dark matter particles, respectively. Note how the rotation curves become increasingly flat as the resolution increases.

SN Feedback and Galaxy Components In L\* Galaxies. Kinematic Decomposition.



Effect of increasing resolution:

Stellar Halo
less massive.
Disk more Massive.
Bulge less massive

Caveat: cannot produce bulge-less disk galaxies yet.

### 1<sup>st</sup> peri

2<sup>nd</sup> apo Mayer et al. 2007, Nature

Orbit, satellite structure and gaseous halo density<br/>extracted from cosmological run, peri=25 kpc, apo=110 kpcHalfway second orbit2nd peri

Gas is completely lost after 2 orbits (~ 3 Gyr), ram pressure stripping continuous because tidal shocks lower binding energy

### "Downsizing" of galaxy population At odds with hierarchical structure formation?



Observations show low mass galaxies on average younger than high mass galaxies (from ages of stars)





### **Effects of Increasing Resolution**

Rotation curves get flatter = mass distribution more realistic (central baryon concentration decreases)





**Conspirators: James Wadsley Joachim Stadel Tom Quinn** Lucio Mayer **Ben Moore** Fabio Governato Stelios Kazantzidis KIPAC Stanford **Derek Richardson George Lake** 

McMaster Univ. Univ. Zurich **Univ. Washington Uni/ETH Zurich** Univ. Zurich **Univ. of Washington Univ. of Maryland** Univ. of Zurich



Multi Platform, Massively Parallel treecode + SPH, multi stepping, cooling, UV background, Star Formation, SN feedback, radiative transfer. Several state-of-the art calculations published in cosmological structure formation, galaxy formation, planet formation, Solar System dynamics (for code description see Wadsley, Stadel & **Quinn 2004**).

Gas Accretion modes in hi-res cosmological simulations Contribution to disk stars at z=0 satellites cold flows shocked



Brooks et al in prep.



Lesson: if a rotationally supported disk forms (= baryons managed to preserve enough angular momentum) then it will be degraded into a thick, spheroidal mass distribution (more like a bulge) when resolution is not sufficient

But this does not fully address the issue of angular momentum catastrophe.

### I band TF & baryonic TF



Data from Giovanelli & Haynes 05

### Effects of Feedback. Zavala et al 08



No FB

### FB on.



### The Assembly of Galaxy Components: The Disk



Baugh et al 06

#### Accretion of different components in L\* Galaxies

insitu accreted early 10 5 kpc 0 -5 -10-10 -5 5 10 -10 -5 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 0 0 kpc kpc kpc 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 M arcsec-2 M arcsec-2 M arcsec-2 cold shock clumpy 10 5 kpc 0 -5 -10-10 -5 -10 -5 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 0 0 5 10 kpc kpc kpc 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 M arcsec-2 M arcsec-2 M arcsec-2

Stars accreted as stars form part of the bulge. (thick disk faint)

> Late accretion forms disks

### The New Model of Gas accretion: Cold Flows

"Cold mode" (Keres et al. 05) of galactic gas accretion: gas creeps along the equilibrium line between heating and cooling. It never Shocks to Tvir.



### "Quiescent" disk formation

Tobias Kaufmann, Lucio Mayer et al. (2005, 2006).

Isolated collapse, no large scale tidal field, can use plenty of particles

Dark matter halo with gas

Start from NFW halo with an embedded rotating hot gaseous halo in hydrostatic equilibrium. Similar to Mo, Mao & White initial conditions but fewer assumptions

Halo virial parameters, spin and angular momentum profile motivated by LCDM cosmological simulations (Bullock et al. 2000)

Standard cooling function for primordial mixture of H and He (no H2) temperature floor (no UV heating or sup. feedback)

### Effects of Feedback and Alternative SF



II) Input physics

### Numerical issue 1: artificial disk heating

Mayer 2004

## Two-body gravitational scattering of stars and gas due to dark matter particles.

Occurs because one uses (too coarse) discrete representation of collisionless system (in principle should use large Np but limited by computing power)! ----> usually dark matter particles much heavier than stellar/gas particles

Need Ndm >=  $10^5$ for  $\triangle E$  (due to two-body encounters) <<  $E_{bind}$ (gravitational binding energy of disk)

#### ....there are issues at small scales (< 1 million light years)

Issue (1) Abundance of satellite galaxies of the Milky Way





A drastic solution: change power spectrum/cosmology ...butEdatapherevianization bratter (W/DdMed-byf@DMstream) istructeute formation zeerbayleermaW/DMcitytredougs gavaeriest fornatiooxidetion



### band TF & baryonic TF

#### Governato et al 07





#### Giovanelli & Haynes 05

Rd fitted to I band stellar profile. Vrot measured from cold gas at 2.2-3.5Rd

MacGaugh et al 2005

Includes stars+cold gas

### MW Satellites: UV field + SN feedback on



### Gas mass loss: tides + ram pressure

- Ram pressure produces higher mass loss relative to tides.

- Stripping with tides + ram pressure higher relative to ram pressure only since potential well of the dwarf is substantially weakened (Vpeak drops)

With cosmic UV bg (z > 1) gas is lost, star formation truncated



#### **3D** simulations confirm: no exponential profiles

Does this mean assumption that J/M of accreting gas ~ J/M of dark matter not correct ?

Not clear yet – gas in simulations can lose angular momentum by artificial viscosity and fall to the center + spiral arms transport angular momentum (spiral pattern can be amplified by noise in simulations)

Key input of future observations: measure angular momentum of gas accreting onto galaxies (gas already in disk affected by internal dynamics – e.g. spiral arms)



# What if the progenitor of some dSphs was gas dominated?

Mayer, Kazantzi

Plausible ass

(3)Most late-typ today (e.g. N 2004)

(2)Both hydro : naturally ob formation ef formation or (Schaye 200 2005; Robertson et al., in prep.)



> 0.5 oore

rmation star ed star ition ssen
-Pick satellites with Vmax ~20-25 km/s today (consistent with kinematics of darkest dSphs, Draco and Umin) and within 100 kpc

from MW in hi--Trace the orbit fell in at z > 1.5. -Make hi-res mo in disk and sim



Dark matter and stars are only partially stripped (suffer only tidal effects) and are stripped at similar rate ----> Mdm/Mstars ~ constant = final Mdm/Mbaryon > 100! Naturally obtain very large mass-to-light ratio starting from a normal mass-to-light ratio (~ 10)



# Tube Flow runs: ram pressure only

#### 2 million SPH particles to control numerical artifacts

#### Vpeak=40 km/s



radiative cooling, 90 degrees

-- Complete stripping requires Vpeak < 30 Km/s (also Marcolini, Brighenti & Matthews 2003 with eulerian code)

-- Stripping reduced with cooling, less gas leaves the disk + fall back of some gas that leaves the disk

#### Vpeak=25 km/s

T=0.05 Gyr



**T=0.2 Gyr** 

radiative cooling

# Angular momentum – II The exponential profile problem

Let's drop the assumption that disks are exponential; can we *obtain* an exponential disk self-consistently?

■keep the other two assumptions, (1)J/M(gas) = J/M(dm) and (2)conservation of angular momentum of gas during collapse ■use the distribution of J/M(r) of dark matter halos from cosmological simulations ---→ J ~ r<sup>-α</sup>, α ~ 1.1 (Bullock et al. 2001):



# Morphology and disk profiles: the impact of blast-wave feedback on low-mass galaxies (M33-size)



Mayer et al. 2008

# Why do we care about LG dwarf satellites?

 They are the closest and thus best studied among dwarf galaxies ----> galaxy formation

They are the most dark matter dominated galaxies
 known -→ nature of dark matter

- They are associated with the CDM crisis at small scales, namely the missing satellite problem -→ structure formation

1.5-3 million particles/models, 50 pc force resolution

COLLISIONLESS SIMULATIONS (i.e. ONLY STELLAR AND DARK MATTER COMPONENT)

(log) stellar density shown

> MW-sized model in a CDM halo, Isolated galaxy (no perturbers)

Debattista, Mayer et al. 2006.



# ---and non-exponential profiles...

Bar formation shapes the evolution of stellar density profiles Steepening in the center and flattening in the outer disk in bar unstable models (already in Hohl 1971)

Disk scale length (outside bar) grows by up to a factor of 2



Debattista, Mayer et al. 2006



#### (Quilis et al. 2000)

Result: truncation of star formation, passive spiral or S0 (but tides crucial to shape morphology – see next talk by Oleg Gnedin)



Tests with isolated galaxy N-Body+SPH models (Stinson et al. 2005) SF efficiency 0.05/TdynSN efficiency =  $0.6 * 10^{51}$  erg

Gas Rich Dwarf Galaxy Vc ~70Km/sec

Gas=white

Gas=red Stars=white Milky Way As Klypin,

Vc ~ 160 km/s

Zhao & Somerville 2001,

SFR Stellar Rz/Rdisk ~ 0.3 Volume ratio Cold Gas/Hot gas ~ 0.5-1 within stellar disk Cold Gas turbulence ~ 20Km/sec

# Why should we care about the Local Group?

 It is the best known sample of galaxies in the Universe, hence the most important testbed for theories of galaxy formation

•We need to understand the origin and history of present-day galaxies if we want to understand the high redshift Universe. The history of LG galaxies can tell us a lot about history of mass, light and chemistry in the Universe

### **EVEN DWARFS WITH MASSIVE HALOS TRANSMUTE**

# Initial Vpeak=35 km/s, fdisk=4% c=16 NFW HALO, shown is morphology after 10 Gyr (~5 orbits\_Rperi=25 kpc, Rapo=120 kpc).



d but heating and instabilities lead et al. 2006; Gnedin et al. 1999)



### $V/\sigma$ after 8 Gyr



Suite of different initial models and different orbits

#### Mayer et al. 2001a

-2

-2

Remnants are moderately triaxial Different symbols refer to line of sights along different axes Filled Symbols=LSB disks, > 23 mag arcsec Open Symbols=HSB disks, < 23 mag arcsec

Loss of angular momentum due to bar instability (vt ) + heating by tides/buckling (σ ↑) Tidal stirring produces pressure supported remnants as dSphs

Within R=Re

-Pick satellites with Vmax ~20-25 km/s today (consistent with kinematics of darkest dSphs, Draco and Umin) and





# Tides induce bar/buckling instabilities Turn disk into spheroidal

LSB disk apo/peri = 5 Apo=250 kpc Peri=50 kpc Star particles shown

Mayer et al. 2001a,b Mayer et al. 2002

> See also Raha et al. (1991) Merritt & Sellwood (1994), Combes et al. (1990)



10 x 10 kpc

## OUTLINE?

# Result confirmed by hydro simulations.





(nearly exponential) disk by a factor of 2 Important because the majority of disk galaxies is barred!

# TIDAL STIRRING of dwarf galaxy satellites

Not enough resolution in subhalos of cosmological simulations with hydro ----> study interaction between a dwarf galaxy and a massive spiral with hi-res N-Body + SPH sims (with GASOLINE), a few million particles per single dwarf model.

#### **Initial conditions**

 (1) orbits and structure of galaxies/halos (NFW) from cosmological runs + scaling relations between baryonic disk and halo from Mo, Mao & White (1998)
 (2) free parameters (e.g. disk mass fraction, gas fraction in disk) chosen based on observations of late-type dwarfs (e.g. de Blok & McGaugh 1997; Geha et al. 2006)

S. Kazantzidis 2003

time = 0.00 Gyr

Mayer et al. (2000, 2001;2002)



# **Key questions**



# What if the progenitor was gas dominated?

(3)Late-type d today (e.g. **Moore 2004** 

(2)Simple ana should be 1 density (Ve Q ~ 1 not not threshold a



density, Q > 1.5 gas disk as that of dlrrs (Li, MacLow & Klessen 2005; Robertson et al., in prep.)

# Example of numerical effects due to limited resolution

Primary MW-sized halo

Artificial angular momentum loss (e.g. Kaufmann, Mayer et al. 2006)

Numerical effects X10 for satellites that have 100 times less particles than primary



TODAY'S FOCUS: STRUCTURE OF SIMULATED GALACTIC DISKS
OUTLINE

-- Brief overview: current status of cosmological simulations of galaxy formation.

Can we trust the current simulations? Are there numerical artifacts the standard technique, i.e. particle based (N-Body+ smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH)) simulations?

-- Non-cosmological models of galaxy formation: a new tool to test numerical effects at resolutions non accessible in a fully cosmological simulation

--New avenues for numerical modeling with SPH: gaseous halos around galaxies, thermal instabilities and clumpy gas accretion



# The L\* Sample.



I Band Rds: 3 - 9kpc

I band Bulge/Disk ratios 0.3 - 0.5

(reddened)

# Observations of large scale structure of the Universe support power spectrum of density fluctuations predicted by $\Lambda$ CDM model



# Disk formation in cosmological simulations: even bigger problems...

Ngas ~ Ndm <~ 10<sup>4</sup>

Bulge, old, little rotation (low J)

Disk, young, rotationally Supported (high J)

Milky-Way galaxy, NIR COBE image Disk galaxies most common type of galaxies in the Universe Simulated "disk" galaxy with mass of the MW (late 90s) in  $\Lambda$ CDM model.

Spheroidal rather than disky, baryons do not have enough angular momentum