High-energy view of AGN Diana Worrall University of Bristol

High-energy emission the defining characteristic of an AGN. But emission complicated, many unanswered questions

Most complicated – those with radio jets. Need to peel off the jet to reveal central engine.

Chandra-resolved jet components inform interpretation of the unresolved structures

Two important discoveries of late '70s First: Radio-loud quasars brighter X-ray sources than radio-quiet for given optical luminosity

Ku et al. 1980, Zamorani et al. 1981



Dependence of X-ray luminosity & spectrum on beaming angle: $X = X_{RO} + X_{RI}$

Zamorani 1984, Browne & Murphy 1987, Worrall et al. 1987, Wilkes & Elvis 1987, Canizares & White 1989, Kembhavi 1993, Shastri et al. 1993

Accretion-related X-rays different in radio-loud & radio-quiet quasars, even if both are at high Eddington accretion effic?

Second: Radio-X-ray correlation in fainter non-beamed objects. Fabbiano et al. 1984

Supported by ROSAT observations that largely separated out thermal emission from host galaxies & clusters Worrall & Birkinshaw 1994, Canosa et al. 1999

central-engine X-rays correlated to radio loudness, or

- observing inner jet emission and
 - central engine obscured, or

central engine weak

Low-redshift sources provide the best linear resolution



BL Lac objects

Twin-jet radio galaxy



e.g., NGC 4261 Chiaberge et al. 2003 Zezas et al. 2003





e.g., 3C 371 Pesce et al. 2001

e.g., PKS 0521-365 Birkinshaw et al. 2002 Selection effects: mostly predominantly one-sided jet sources. Known optical jet sources over-represented Chandra results

Resolved X-ray jets typically found. Better contrast with galaxy emission than in optical to depth of HST snapshots.
Broken power law fits spectrum interpolated through radio, optical, X-ray, integrating over X-ray region.

X-ray spectrum typically too steep for iC \rightarrow synchrotron



But,

- Spectral break too large for simple continuous injection
 & energy-loss model
- Distinct offsets between X-ray & radio peaks, with Xrays typically closer to the core

e.g., Hardcastle et al. 2001, Kataoka et al. 2003



Points related. $B_{min} \sim 100 \mu$ G. Electron lifetime tens of years \rightarrow in situ (shock) acceleration. Spectra & images integrations

Burns et al. 1983, Junkes et al. 1993.





Cen A $1 \operatorname{arcsec} = 17 \operatorname{pc}$ Active Galaxy Centaurus A

HS1 WFPC2

OPO • May 14, 1998 • E. Schreier (ST Scl) and

Ongoing comprehensive multi-wavelength study Kraft, Jones, Forman, Murray (SAO) Birkinshaw, Worrall (Bristol) Hardcastle (Herts)

NASA / JPL-Caltech / J. Keene (SSC/Calt

Spitzer Space Telescope • IRAC





VLA 8.4 GHz A & B array: 1991 archival, 2002 new. Dynamic range 120,000:1. Proper motions: $v_{app} \sim 0.5c$. If $\theta \sim 50^{\circ}$, jets asymmetric. Hardcastle et al. (2003).

Cen A radio monitoring 02



VLA 8.4 GHz A & B array: 1991 archival, 2002 new. Dynamic range 120,000:1. Proper motions: $v_{app} \sim 0.5c$. If $\theta \sim 50^{\circ}$, jets asymmetric. Hardcastle et al. (2003).

Chandra ACIS-S 45 ks X-ray Jet and weak counter-jet Hardcastle et al. (2003)



Cen A Chandra/VLA

2003 *Chandra* press release, NASA/CXC/M. Hardcastle

All bright compact X-ray features have radio at some level. Arrows point to very flat radio-X-ray spectra.

Acceleration and advection (toy profile)

Knot profile





Alternative toy model









 $\log(\nu/Hz)$

15

Optical Polarization

e.g. M 87. Optical narrower than radio. Strong optical polarization with *B* vectors perpendicular to jet marking strong shock acceleration. Lower-energy electrons concentrated in a sheath.

Variability



X-ray and optical variability on timescale of months consistent with shock acceleration, expansion and energy losses Harris et al. 2003; Perlman et al. 2003.

Intermediate Conclusions

 Low-power jets with resolved X-ray emission must be relativistic (more than a few tenths of c, from proper-motion and sidedness arguments).

•Shocks accelerate particles and change *B*-field directions, but don't fully disrupt jets.

•If happening on large scale, can presumably happen on small, in the faster jets within the cores.

Beware simple one-zone emission models applied to blazar jets!

Boosted high-power, FRII, jets High-power rarer, more distant. Boosted \rightarrow quasars PKS 0637-752. Now surveys.

Optical rules our synchrotron from single electron population

Single-zone SSC→ large departure from minimum energy





Schwartz et al. 2000 iC-CMB with *B*_{eq}, θ ~ 5 deg, Γ~ 20, i.e., *v* ~ 0.9987c

Beamed ic-CMB with B_{min} is favored model Tavecchio et al. 2000, Celotti et al. 2001 **Problems with beamed iC-CMB interpretation?** Sharp gradients in X-ray surface brightness at the edge of the knots unexpected since X-rays are from low-energy electrons with long lifetimes. hartas et al. 2000 Radio Flux Density (Jy 0.030 radio May suggest jets are clumpy 0.020 0.010 Tavecchio et al. 2003 (cnts/bin) o But, if clumpy SSC can be 60 X-ray Flux 40higher & may not need fast ay 20 speeds Schwartz et al. 2000 0 12 10 Angular Distance From Core (arcsec)

May suggest decelerations Georganopoulos & Kazanas 2004

But, X-rays may be dominated by synchrotron emission from high-energy electrons in the Klein-Nishina regime Dermer & Atoyan 2002, or separate population.



Assuming middle knot not a BL Lac interloper, difficult to explain except by synchrotron.



Gelbord et al. 2004 See also QSO 0827+243 Jorstad and Marscher 2004

Unboosted high-power, FRII, jets

Jets in unboosted counterparts of high-power sources have been hard to detect. Two examples show good evidence for synchrotron X-ray emission, indicating localized shocks



Modeling jet emission in cores. Powerful boosted sources. Common to assume jet emission dominates at all energies, sometimes up to TeV. One-zone SSC models. e.g., Ghisellini et al. 1998, Krawczynski et al. 2001, Tagliaferri et al. 2003 Sometimes correlated flares support dominant emission region e.g., Urry et al. 1997, Takahashi et al. 2000 Resolved scales \rightarrow shocks don't

Resolved scales → shocks don't necessarily disrupt fast flow. Multiple synchrotron components. Beware one-zone models.



SSC model within A Size ~10¹⁶ cm, B ~ 1.3 G

Worrall et al. 2003

Modeling jet emission in cores. Powerful un-boosted sources.

Generally distant. kpc-scale jet emission will be in the unresolved cores. Obscuring torus invoked by unified models should weaken nuclear flux.

Low-power boosted sources.



BL Lacs cover large area in α_{ro}/α_{ox} plane. Not clear plane fully sampled.

PKS J2310-437 extreme -→ boosted radio/X-ray jetted source where any optical jet well buried in the galaxy



Murray et al. 2004

5 arc sec

Could there be radio-quiet jets? Londish et al. 2004



Low-power un-boosted sources.

Low-power radio-galaxy cores interpreted either as SSC based on SEDs e.g. Capetti et al. 2000 or thick/thin accretion disk based on variability or FeK emission e.g. Gliozzi et al. 2003. Both in



Reflections

 Much physics possible from study of resolved jets Shocks prevalent, & don't necessarily disrupt jets One-zone models of emission near shocks are an over-simplification •Beware one-zone models for small-scale jets embedded in cores Association of soft X-ray components with jet emission looks good, but closest radio galaxy (Cen A) & best twin-jet X-ray source (NGC 4261) both show clear evidence of additional absorbed hard components. Data don't exclude ~10⁴¹ erg s⁻¹ obscured emission in other sources •X-ray selection biases must be corrected