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Sketch of an AGN

- $10^6$ to $> 10^9$ $M_{\odot}$ black hole + accretion disk
- Dominant components of observed emission depend on direction of line of sight:
  - Viewed within about 40° of disk equator: non-blazar (jet is not as prominent, so accretion disk + clouds dominate)
  - Viewed within about 10° of jet axis: blazar
Emission Regions in an AGN

→ Unbeamed optical/uv/X-ray emission from accretion disk + corona
→ Broad & “narrow” optical emission lines from clouds
→ Optical absorption lines from outflows (funnel-shaped?)
→ Radio core & jet emission lie outside broad emission-line region
→ Beamed X-rays & gamma-rays come from jet
Basic Questions on the Nature of AGNs
that can be addressed by multi-waveband observations

- How are jets made by accreting black holes?
- How does jet $L_{\text{kin}}$ compare with $L_{\text{total}}$ of AGN?
- Where & how are jets accelerated to high $\Gamma$s?
- Out to what distance does a relativistic jet retain high $\Gamma$?
- How/where are jets focused into narrow cones?
- Where & how are relativistic electrons accelerated?
- What causes the radio loud/quiet dichotomy (if there is one)?
- What is the physics of the jet flow (shocks, turbulence, interaction with gas, matter content, instabilities, magnetic field pattern, bending, precession)?
- Are high-frequency events related to the “ejection” of superluminal radio knots?
1. Spectral energy distribution
   - Identification of separate components of emission
2. Spectroscopy
- X-ray emission line indicates X-ray continuum from accretion disk region
- Broad optical emission lines require strong uv continuum from disk + neighborhood

3C 120 X-ray Fe Kα emission line (Grandi et al. 1997)

NGC4151 optical emission lines (W. Keel)
Multi-waveband Tools for Addressing Questions

3. Variability (good for all wavebands)
   - Related to size of emission region
   - Cross-frequency time lags help to locate sites of emission
Multi-waveband Tools for Addressing Questions

4. Radio/mm-wave imaging: great resolution
   - Picture of the jet (including polarization)
   - Multi-epoch images allow us to watch variations
Limitations of Tools

- SEDs do not by themselves indicate location
- Spectral lines are reprocessed emission, not so straightforward to interpret
- Variability related to size but can occur at different locations
- High-frequency observations have spatial resolution much too coarse to image an AGN on parsec-scales and smaller
- Even mm-wave images are subject to opacity limiting how close to central engine we can explore
Overcoming Limitations: Combine tools

• Optical emission-line & continuum variability (reverberation mapping)

• Variability of SED (separate variable & non-variable components)

• Variability & VLBI (see what’s changing directly or see the downstream effect of upstream variations)

• Multi-waveband polarization (identify high-frequency features on VLBI images by polarization signatures)
Unified Scheme of Active Galactic Nuclei

Lots of things going on: accretion, outflow, line emission & absorption, continuum emission across the E-M spectrum

To study region near the black hole, observe optical, uv, X-ray of AGNs with jets viewed from the side

To study jets, observe AGNs with jets pointing at us (blazars)
Evidence that High & Low-\(\nu\) Nonthermal Emission is Related:

Correlated Light Curves & Polarization Properties
The Quasar PKS 1510-089 (z=0.361) Radio/X-ray Correlation

(P)X-ray

Radio

Visible (Optical too poorly sampled)
X-ray variations usually well-correlated with high-ν radio, with radio variations leading X-ray by an average of 6 days. X-ray/radio correlation is strongest when inner jet points near PA -20°.

Discrete X-ray/radio Cross-Correlation Coefficient (x-axis is delay in days, > 0 means radio leads)

Numbers listed: peak of DCCF for 1 year of data centered on epoch of data point

When radio is optically thin, X-ray lags radio or is nearly simultaneous.
The Quasar 3C 279 (z=0.538)

Extreme variations in flux at optical & X-ray (& γ-ray)

Variations in X-ray flux usually well-correlated with changes in optical brightness

→ time lag of 15±15 days, with optical leading

Discrete Cross-Correlation Coefficient (X-ray vs. optical)

X-ray/radio correlation also quite strong, with radio lagging by 140±40 days
BL Lac (z=0.069)

Usually, X-ray continuum spectrum is too flat to be continuation of synchrotron spectrum
→ Probably synchrotron self-Compton

We find strong X-ray/optical correlation of long-term light curve with ~ zero lag
[Correlation not so good on short timescales (Böttcher et al. 2004)]

Note that period of strongest activity corresponds to steep X-ray spectral index → probably high-E tail of synchrotron emission

Superluminal ejection occurred during period of strongest activity
Why am I not talking about TeV Blazars?

Thus far, it appears that the radio jets of TeV blazars are rather slow & not highly variable on parsec scales (Marscher 1999, *Astroparticle Phys.*; Piner 2003, *ApJ*)

Maybe they are e⁻-e⁺ jets with flat E spectra such that the jet loses momentum when the electrons cool


Other attempts to decide e+/p+ ratio are not air-tight and lead to mixed results
Extended Jets of $\gamma$-ray Quasars: Ultrarelativistic out to 100’s of kpc

0827+243: $v_{\text{app}} = 22c$ on pc scales
X-ray emission requires beaming factor $\delta \approx 20$ out to 100’s of kpc

Scale: $1'' \approx 120$ kpc (7.8 kpc x 15 for de-projection)

False-color: X-ray
Contours: 5 GHz radio


HST non-detection + radio spectrum imply that X-rays come from inverse Compton scattering of CMB

(Jorstad et al. 2001 ApJS)

For more on extended jets, see D. Worrall’s talk
Correlated Multiwaveband Polarization

→ suggests that mm-wave core region = site of optical emission

Blazars: optical & mm-wave linear polarization often has similar E-vector direction (see also Gabuzda & Sitko 1994)

Degree of optical polarization is often higher

Lister & Smith (2000): quasars with low optical polarization also have low core polarization at 43 GHz

Purple: optical pol.
Green: ~ 1 mm pol.
Correlated Multiwaveband Polarization Variability

7mm & 1.3 mm linear polarization often has similar E-vector direction

Degree of 1.3 mm polarization is often higher
Identifying Knots by Polarization

Many superluminal knots have stable electric vector position angles (EVPAs) of linear polarization

→ Might be able to identify knots responsible for optical/near-IR variations by similar EVPA as knots on VLBA images

Scale: 1 mas = 4.8 pc = 16 lt-yr (H₀=70)

23/28 γ-ray flares are contemporaneous with our VLBA data. 11 superluminal jet components are associated with γ-ray flares (≤6 expected by chance). Example: 3C 273
Increase in polarized radio flux accompanies high γ-ray flux + ejection of superluminal knot (Jorstad et al. 2001 ApJ)

23/28 γ-ray flares are contemporaneous with our VLBA data
11 superluminal jet components are associated with γ-ray flares (≤6 expected by chance)
Proposed Method for Identifying Jet Features Responsible for High-frequency Variations

Look for correlated polarization variability in optical, IR, submm, & mm-wave

**Objective:** Locate sites of variable high-frequency emission on mm-wave VLBA images → relate optical/near-IR light

Relate to X-ray & γ-ray by correlating light curves (RXTE, Swift, AGILE, GLAST) with optical/near-IR, & mm-wave light curves
Observational Connection between Jet & Accretion Disk

**Microquasars**: X-ray dips precede appearance of knots in radio jet (see F. Mirabel’s talk)

→ **Might expect something similar in AGNs**

Need AGNs with blazar-like jets in radio but main X-ray component from accretion disk/corona

→ **Blazar-like radio galaxies are good candidates**
The FR I Radio Galaxy 3C 120 \( (z=0.033) \)

HST image (Harris & Cheung)

- Superluminal apparent motion, 4-6c (1.8-2.8 milliarcsec/yr)
- X-ray spectrum similar to BH binaries & Seyferts
- Mass of central black hole ~ 3x10^7 solar masses (Marshall, Miller, & Marscher 2004; Wandel et al. 1999)

Scale: 1 mas = 0.64 pc = 2.1 lt-yr (Ho=70)
Superluminal Ejections in 2002-03

Sequence of VLBA images

Scale: 1 mas = 0.64 pc = 2.1 lt-yr (Ho=70)

3 bright superluminal knots, apparent motion = 4.5-5c

All other knots are less than 10% core brightness beyond 0.5 milliarcsec from core
Superluminal ejections follow X-ray dips
→ Similar to microquasar GRS 1915+105

Radio core must lie at least 1 lt-yr from black hole to produce the observed X-ray dip/superluminal ejection delay of ~ 60 days
X-rays mainly from corona/wind, some probably from jet as well

Popular model: jet propelled by twisted poloidal magnetic field emanating from disk or ergosphere

Eikenberry & van Putin (2003), Livio et al. (2003), Tagger et al. (2004): More efficient outflow into jet when turbulent field becomes more poloidal

→ Gas in disk falls into BH more quickly, more advective, radiates less (dip)
Comparison of GRS1915+105 with 3C 120 Light Curves

Dips in 3C 120 are not so deep & prolonged relative to other timescales as for GRS 1915+105

X-ray light curve is more similar to that of 1915+105 after long hard state, but with longer time between quick dips

Similar change in timescale of main fluctuations before & after low state

Recovery time from dip ~ 1 day in 3C 120, too short for scaling by $M_{BH}$, unless H/R of disk ~ 1/3 in 3C 120 and 1/10 in GRS 1915+105 (see Livio et al. 2003)
Does FR II Radio Galaxy 3C 111 (z=0.0485) Do the Same? Time will tell…

Similar to 3C 120 in radio & X-ray 5c (1.5 milliarcsec/yr)

Scale: 1 mas = 0.92 pc = 3.0 lt-yr (H₀=70)

See M. Kadler’s talk for a possible link between changes in X-ray Fe line & ejection of a radio knot in NGC1052
Evidence for Collimation of Jets Well Outside Central Engine

• VLBA observations of M87: jet appears broad near core
→ Flow may be collimated on scales \( \sim 1000 R_s \)

Junor et al. 2000 Nature
Evidence for Acceleration of Jet on Parsec Scales

Acceleration of proper motion near core (examples from Jorstad et al. 2005)
Can be caused by bending of trajectory or actual acceleration
Evidence for Acceleration of Jet on Parsec Scales

Acceleration of proper motion near core in some jets

A jet with $\Gamma > \sim 10$ cannot propagate out of nuclear region (Phinney 1987)

How then can we have jets with observed apparent motions $> 25c$, implying $\Gamma > 25$ and focusing to within 0.5 degrees or less?

Combining multi-waveband techniques is a powerful way to explore the most interesting physics of AGNs.

The methods proposed here require a lot of observing time + patience from the observer, directors, & TACs.

The proliferation of comprehensive multi-waveband studies is limited mainly by the amount of telescope time + astronomers’ time available.

We look forward to GLAST, AGILE, VERITAS et al. (Cherenkov telescopes), Swift (in post-GRB-only phase), 1 & 2 mm VLBI, high-ν VLBI in space, & mo’ better theory.
END of TALK

…but not the end of the story!
Why Not One-to-One Correspondence between Radio or Optical/IR & X-ray?

SSC: All synchrotron photons that are in the right frequency range to scatter up to a given X-ray energy will contribute equally to the SSC X-ray/γ-ray flux

→ Relationship between SSC X-ray/γ-ray & synchrotron emission at any given frequency is simple only if source is uniform

→ Frequency-dependent time delays result from frequency stratification (e−s accelerated at shock front, highest energy e−s “die” quickly, lower-energy ones live longer → lower ν emitted over larger volume) + light-travel delays
The Radio Galaxy NGC1052 (z=0.0049)

Apparent speed of radio knots ~ 0.25c

Iron line at 6.4 keV had more pronounced "red" wing prior to radio ejection event than at two other epochs

A major radio ejection event may be preceded by enhanced inflow in the relativistic region near the black hole in NGC1052

The iron line in 3C 120 is probably variable based on widely diverse reports of equivalent width; we are analyzing our RXTE observations in an effort to measure variability of the line & search for occasional broad wing

3C 120 ASCA spectrum (Grandi et al. 1997)
Kadler’s Sketch of NGC1052

1) Partial ejection of matter from the inner disk
2) Disk has pulled back
3) Disk replenishes

Steady disk
"Quiet" jet
Accreting black hole

Partial ejection of matter from the inner disk
Accretion of inner disk
New jet component (Shock in jet)
New jet component travels outwards

Graphs showing energy distribution (keV)
Somewhat similar to 3C 120; we will see whether X-ray dips occur, followed by superluminal ejections. Stay tuned …
The “Microquasar” GRS 1915+105: A Faster-than-light Object in Our Galaxy

Apparent velocity 2-3c, but jet makes a large angle to line of sight $\Gamma \sim 5$ (Fender et al. 1999)

 Binary system, giant star + black hole of 14 solar masses

Ejection of superluminal knots follows end of low, hard X-ray state

3 different types of behavior (Belloni et al. 2000)
Multiwaveband Polarization Variability

Quasar 0420–014: Note extremely rapid changes, possible linkages of directions of polarization at different wavebands
Multiwaveband Polarization Variability

Optical & mm-wave linear polarization often has similar E-vector direction . . . but sometimes not – optical is more highly variable

Degree of optical polarization is often higher
Jet does a hula dance:
~ 2-yr cycle of 24° swing in direction of jet near core

Scale: 1 mas = 1.3 pc = 4.1 lt-yr (H₀=70)